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The ladies of Manchester, N. H., it is said, have contributed a stone to the Washington Monument, with the inscription, "From the
Home of Stark."

Reaping and Mowing Machines.

Messrs. Editors-I have read your article in No. 9 of the present volume with much interest, and must come to a different conclusion from yourselves; I draw my conclusions from experience, as I have tried and helped try nearly all of the cutting parts now used in the reapers of the present day. In the first place you say the sickles require a reel; and that they wil not cut green straw without choking. Now I can assure you that I have cut as green straw as ever grew, with a sickle, without clogging or choking, and also have cat with a sickle with out a reel ; and if grain is cut when it is green it will not shell unless the reel revolves too ra pidly. I have cut perfectly ripe grain without having it shell. You say also that sickles will not cut the Eastern grasses, but may cut the coarse grass of our prairies; your idea of our prairie grass is not correct if you suppose that it cuts easier than timothy or clover,-the kind of grass which we cut for hay throughout the West, or nearly all of the West, is much harder to cut than either clover or timothy-(a sample I enclose for your examination); in some localities coarse grass may be cut, which grows in the ravines or low bottoms, but this is unfit for hay, and is not generally cut.
You say that Ketchum has prevented his mowing machines from choking by punching elongated apertures through the blades of his knives. Now, I will state that he has not suc cessfully prevented his machines from choking by this device. We have some of Ketchum's mproved machines here, and find that our upand prairie grass will choke them as often, ot oftener than some other machines which ut with sickle. We have had several Ketchum's improved sickles at our shop this ummer to mend, having been broken by the nnives choking with our fine grass; the grass clogs in between each section on the sickle bar as well as between the fingers, of ten clogging so tight as to tear off a sickle bar one inch by three-eighthy of an inch. I find also that a phain finger or guard tooth is just as good as any other, if
You have no hesitation in saying that Ketchm's is the best machine for cutting grass. also have no hesitation in saying that there are other machines better for cutting grass than Ketchum's, and that they will cut the different kinds of grass better than Ketchum's:-Rugg's of Ottawa, and Danforth's, and one or two more. The best kind of knife that we have yet found is one invented by Bronson Murray, I believe, of Ottawa, Ill.; it will cut all kinds of grain and grass without clogging or choking and has been thoroughly tried during the past season, and has cut in fields with Ketchum's and has been much preferred. The sickle referred to, has a sickle edge behind and before, and is a different angle from either of the oth ors ; it is made in sections about fourteen inch$s$ long, and is riveted on a bar about a quarte of an inch thick and three-fourths wide; this form of sickle not only prevents clogging but prevents fine grass from getting in between the sections when they are made like Ketchum's or Hussey's, or McCormick's. I agree with you hat machines for farmers' use should be made as simple as possible, because in the harvest field farmers generally have from six to ten hands, and one hour lost in mending a machine is almost or quite one day's work lost for on man. James M. Thomas.
Wyoming, Ill., 29th Nov., 1853.
Since the publication of our article on reaprs, we have received many communications rom the East and from the West in relation to the matter. Some have disagreed with us and others have emphatically endorsed our statements. All our correspondents, however, have fallen into the error of supposing that we speak rom theoretical considerations only, but we beg leave to assure them that they are entirel mistaken. We have " tried and helped try nea ly all" the prominent machines before the public, and probably not one of our correspondents has had more, if as much practical experience in the matter as ourselves, and we still unhesitatingly assert thatin the East sickles will not cut grass ithout choking. We have no interest in en- dorsing Ketchum's machine except as we believe stage of it, that, in my judgment, they are such be used in every family.
it to be the best for the purpose that has ever been in use here ; but, as we said in our article, it is not what a machine should be, because it will not cut both grass and grain, and we hope yet to be the medium of presenting to the public one that will do this successfully. Our correspondent expressly states that the Western grasses are harder to cut than those of the East, and this is the very point. It is the oft grasses that choke these machines. A hard grass, in the composition of which silex largely enters, as it does in the specimen sent us, is brittle and is much more easily broken by the sickle or other means than those in which a less proportion of this substance is found. The Eastern grasses are tough, and are not readily snapped between the fingers, while even the eaves of the specimen sent us break readily.

## As to the reel, unless it is used with the

 ickle, the grain will be pressed forward, and thus fall away from the apron instead of upon t, unless $V$-shaped sickles are used like those described by our correspondent. The reason is, the angle in a $\nabla$-shaped knife or sickle is such as to press against the guard tooth, while in the other case it presses forward, and sickles having a large angle with the guard tooth will not saw as their principle requires.
## Inieresting Patent Case.

As briefly noticed by us last week, Judge Nelson, in this city, granted an injunction retraining Anson G. Phelps and others from manufacturing Car Springs of Vulcanized India Rubber, as being an intringement of Goodyear's patent. The following is an abstract of the harge of the Judge:-
This is a motion for an injunction against the he defendants for an alleged infringement of Goodyear's patent, "for a new and useful improvement in india rubber fabrics," The plaintiffs, the New England Car Spring Company, are the assignees of Goodyear for the exclusive right to use the improvement or invention in the manufacture of india rubber springs for railroad cars, locomotives, and tenders. The first patent was issued to Goodyear, June 15, 1844, and was afterwards surrendered and reissued December 25, 1849, on an amended specification. The bill sets forth a suit in the Circuit Court for the district of New Jersey, beween Goodyear and Day, one of the defendants, and that after a hearing in that court, involving the validity of this re-issued patent, decree was rendered in the September Term, 1852, in favor of the complainant, holding that Goodyear was the first and original inventor of the improvement claimed, and that the letters patent were valid in all other respects. The bill further charges that after the hearing of the case referred to in New Jersey, and while under the advisement of the Court, the defendants, Phelps, W. E. and D. S. Dodge, Pratt and Davis, combined with H. Day, with a knowl edge of the facts respecting the suit in Jersey, and that it involved the validity of Goodyear's patent, to infringe the same, and commenced manufacturing car springs out of india rubber, mixed or compounded in some form with sulphur, and cured or vulcanized by a high degree of artificial heat in violation of the patent. In addition to the case of Goodyear against Day, decided in the Circuit Court of the United States, at the September term in New Jersey, already referred to, the opinion of that Court has been furnished on a suit of these plaintiffs against the Central Railroad of New Jersey, in which an injunction was granted, and in which the principal objections were presented and over-ruled, that are now relied on before me.They were :-First-That the complainants are not the proper parties to the suit. SecondThat the rubber used in the defendants car prings was made by a process in which steam is the chief agent, and is, therefore, no infringement of complainants patent; and Third -That Goodyear's patent is for a process of curing rubber, and not for the product or manufacture, and consequently the product is no infringement. These several questions were very fully considered by the learned Judges of the Circuit Court in New Jersey, and the grounds of their decision stated at large, and I need only say, in disposing of this case, at this
as well warranted the granting of the preliminary injunction. The originality of the invention was then most thoroughly examined by the respective parties, as is shown by the seven large volumes of proofs then taken, and to which I have referred. A point has been made that the defendants are not liable for the infringement charged, as the only participation alleged in the same is as stockholders of an incorporated company, which company is engaged in manufacturing and selling the patented article. However that may be, it appears that the defendants are either Directors of the Company, who have the mangement and superintendence of the business, and under whose direction the articles are manufactured and sold, or are the agents of the same, concerned in conducting the business. On this ground, I am of opinion they are responsible and properly made defendants. Injunction ordered. E. N. Dickerson and James T. Brady, for complainants. George Gifford and Francis B. Cutting or defendants.

## Recent Foreign Invention

Manufacture of Starch.-Edward Tucker of Belfast, Ireland, patentee.-This invention relates to the application and use of certain salts (both alone and in combination with mineral acids), for the more speedy and effective se paration of pure starch from the glutinous and other foreign matters with which the starch itself is originally combined, as well as to the neutralizing or counteracting of the injurious ef fects of the vegetable acids generated in the process of starch-making, and the increase in the amount of good starch from a given quantity of wheat or other grain. By the same means, any pure water is rendered suitable for starch-making, although such water may be il adapted for this purpose in its natural state. In carrying this invention into effect, the patentee submits the wheaten meal, or reduced grain, to he usual process of fermentation, and washes t, so as to separate the bran from the rest of the materials forming the substance to be treated. The starching liquor is then run into a vat and allowed to remain for about 36 hours, for precipitation. The supernatant liquor is next run off, or removed, and the precipitate is brosen up. A solution of sulphate of soda, or Glauber's salt, in boiling water, is prepared, in the proportion of about 13 lbs . of the salt to one ton of the wheat, or other grain under treatment; and after cooling down this solution, it is poured into the precipitated starch; and the vat being filled up with water, the entire contents are thoroughly mired, and intimately incorporated by stirring. The mass is then al lowed to stand for 24 or 30 hours perfectly quiescent. In the subsequent process, technically known as the "fine shift," when the water and limes are removed, another solution of the same salt is employed, but in much smaller proportions; about 3 lbs . weight only being ap plied to one ton of wheat. At this stage, in combination with the sulphate of soda, a por tion of sulphuric acid is used, in the proportion of about one quart of the acid to the produce of 4 tons of wheat. The acid, in a diluted state, is poured gradually into the vat, which is then nearly filled up with fresh water; and the whole contents are thoroughly mixed by agitation. When the starch has been precipitated, it is finished, and prepared for sale, and used in the ordinary manner. The patentee remarks, that he has found sulphate of magnesia, muriate of soda, and other salts and acids, a vailable for a similar purpose. This general process enders all pure water suitable for manufacturing starch, however hard and unsuitable it may have been originally. The pure starch is also better separated from the glutinous constituent of the grain; whilst the manufactured starch is superior in purity, sw eetness, strength, ineness of texture, and whiteness, as compared with all starch made in the usual way; and the yield is greatly increased.
This is an interesting invention for ourstarch manufacturers.- [Lonon Journal.

We see it stated in a number of our exchanges that large deposits of cannel coal have been discovered in Western, Pa. When are we to have cheap gas in thia city. We hope the time is not far distant when it will be so cheap as to

