Scientific American.

Scientific American

NEW-YORK, AUGUST 20, 1853.

Patent Law Suits-Sewing Machines.

A suit tor libel has been commenced against the "New York Tribune." by Elias Howe Jr., the patentee of the first machine for using a needle and shuttle in sewing. The suit is instituted because the "Tribune" published | tor subscribers, these gentlemen we know to the advertisement of I. M. Singer & Co, owners of the Singer Sewing Machine. That advertisement stated that "E. Howe had published falsehoods in saying that he (Howe) had obtained an injunction against Singers' Sewing Machine." It also stated that "it they are known and not distrusted. Subscriwas equally untrue that Howe had the original sewing machine," and it invited all to come and examine the original one, made twelve years before Howe's was heard of ; asserting at the same time, that it was the invention of Walter Hunt, of this city. E. Howe, Jr., obtained the first patent in America, for a machine which used two threads and made the lock stitch. In a trial which had very little trouble in obtaining them. It took place at Boston, Howe vs. Le Row & Blodgett, for an infringement of the plaintiff's patent (see page 356, Vol. 7, Scientific American) the claims of Walter Hunt to the invention of the shuttle and needle sewing machine were introduced, but were considered of no The claims set up for Hunt's machine are a forlorn hope, and when Mr. Le Row, before his suit commenced, informed us that he relied on such claims, we told him that his case rested on a sandy foundation; the result in that case strewed such claims to the winds.

We certainly do not think that Mr. Howe for libel under such circumstances, nor a never intended to hurt Mr. Howe's feelings nor injure his business, but lawyers can be found to advise almost any measures, to make the "penny fee." The Hon. Wm. H. Seward, U. S. Senator, is Mr. Howe's Counsellor in patent matters, but with this case of

This case affords another illustration of the not its usefulness be diminished or destroyed ? censure in regard to the said collision. Five single word when a new case is presented bedefectiveness of our United States Chancery Besides, some fish-like eels, for instanceof the Jurors refused to sign the verdict, before us; indeed, were it not a matter of duty Courts with their miserable old and complicaare said to be charged with electricity. Who cause they thought the company were censuwith us, we would not do it; but, as it is a ted slow machinery. The means for trying knows but they will monopolize the use of matter of conscience, we will do our duty rable, and they were right. The evidence and deciding disputed patent cases should be the wire? Perhaps some of our so-called sciwent to prove that the accident was caused "whether men will hear or forbear." The ample and rapid in bringing them to a concluentific journals which claim to possess all the by a difference of 2¹/₂ minutes time in the case referred to was one of a very aggravasion; instead of this, there is the same see-saw knowledge extant on every subject, will inwatches of the engineers and conductors of ting and melancholy character. A poor man, snoringly-draw system in existence now torm us. At all events, they can tell us that there was fifty years ago. Some patent the two trains. The conductor has the con-Thomas Reily, when within but a short diswhether the telegraphic news will be fresh trol of running a train, and the engineer is tance of his own door in Ridge street, while cases before our United States Courts might after having passed through so much salt waunder his orders, and no evidence was prequietly walking home, smoking his pipe, in well be represented by a snake with its tail in ter." its mouth endeavoring to swallow itself. The sented to prove that the engineer Anderson the broad blaze of the noon-tide sun, was sud-A so-called scientific journal can answer disobeyed orders. The cause of the accident denly struck down upon the pavement by a case must first appear in equity and then emithe last question, and can assure the editor indirectly was the miserable railroad system nentlawyers must speak on it for three or four piece of metal from a boiler which had exthat the news will be perfectly fresh when it ploded in another street (Attorney) in Pratt's days, going over the whole history of the inwhich so extensively prevails in our land. arrives, as it will not pass through the salt vention; then it may be carried before a jury, We have been the stern advocates of double foundry; his skull was fractured, and in two water. The first question being a fishy one, days afterwards he died in the Hospital. His tracks, and have frequently called attention and then up it will go, perhaps at the end of can be answered best by the paper that asked to this question. Had this railroad been a douwife was standing at the door, and saw him fourteen years, to the Supreme Court at Washit; its pretence to all knowledge on every ble track, would such an accident have occurcoming home; she turned her back to enter, ington. When application for an injunction subject is boundless. We cannot conceive, is made to a judge, on a patent which has | red? No. Bad must that system of railroad then heard the explosion, looked out, and behowever, how it ever entered into the head never been tried at common law, he should management be, which, as in this case, is the held her noor husband lying insensible on the of the interrogator to ask such a question, for at once, if it is demanded by the defendant, cause of a collision, by a difference of two pavement. The Coroner's Jury, in investigaeels charged with electricity are surely beorder a trial at the next session. This course and a-half minutes in the watches of the difting the cause of his death, hastily decided yond the power of monopolizing any more of would save much to patentees, and soon ferent conductors. The State of New Jersey that some man in Canada was the cause it. bring the matter to a conclusion. It would has become infamous by her railroad system, of it, ---who the man was they did not know : A FAVORED EDITOR.—A number of the at once the most contemptible and mean in icted with he Coroner charged them to this effect and e the public from being inf merchants of Glasgow, Scotland, recentlypreour land, fit only for Fejee Legislators, and such quarrels as those of Howe and Singer, so they decided. The direct cause of the exsented the editor (Robert Gunn) of the Goodyear and Day-the india rubber case-Dahomy exactors. plosior. was an over-pressure of steam in a "North British Daily Mail," with a purse Many'new inventions have been brought not vet terminated, we believe. Among the miserable boiler, and the man in Canada, as containing £390 (\$1,950) in testimony of their many new inventions which are still wantbefore the public within the past five years, alleged, who built it, had no more to do appreciation of his ability and enterprize as a ing to benefit mankind, we recommend inven- | for the preventing of railroad accidents. No with the explosion than the shade of Peter journalist. tors to try their genius and skill in improving new invention is required to prevent ninety-Stuyvesant. The owner of the boiler, J. R. nine out of every hundred railroad accidents. Pratt, testified that he bought the boiler for our United States Courts in patent trials. The San Jacinto. Double tracks fenced in, no crossings, well \$400, and that its first cost was \$1,600; had By the "Washington Star" we learn that laid rails, good bridges, and plenty of steady Can you Recommend the Scientific American ? been made in Canada and had been used seven this war frigate is to get in new machinery active guards on the lines, with competent We hope our readers will not overlook the months; it was a locomotive boiler. We when she arrives. Well this is too bad; our engineers and conductors, will do all that we have no strictures to make on buying it for naval steamers, with a very few exceptions, splendid prizes offered for the largest lists of subscribers-for \$1,40, to clubs of twenty and have asserted for the prevention of accidents. such a low price—a man has a right to buy are a disgrace to our country. over, a journal can be procured abounding in Many of our railroad companies are "penny as cheap as he can, but at the same time the valuable information upon every branch of inwise and pound foolish;" by a short sighted boiler was not a good one, as it had to be bra-The cotton crop in Alabama and Mississipdustry. Those who have taken the Scientific economy, "they leap over bundles to gather ced afterwards, and was defective in strength pi, as we learn by our southern cotempora-American need no urging, but we should re- straws." A single collision by the smash- for the pressure it had to carry. Now the ries, will be an early and large one.

Can you not recommend it as a paper of rare this amount for the payment of dama- it to carry a low pressure. Is the maker of a usefulness? Do so if you can. Twelve per- ges to the relatives of the killed and injured. tea-kettle to be held responsible for not masons are to secure cash prizes payable on the Such accidents as those mentioned we expect, king it strong enough, if it bursts to pieces and 1st of January next, that time is not far off. will take place until our railroad system is to profit all who will become readers of our tion, and until they do it, we will hold them paper and competitors for the prizes. We culpable. have but two agents, B. S. Hill and J. C. Bartlett, who have any authority to canvass be reliable and trustworthy. It is our intention to dispense as much as possible with the travelling agency system. We therefore offer prizes of sufficient value to induce our readers to canvass for subscribers in districts where bers can be sent in at any time until January 1st in competition for the prizes. We do not require that they shall all come from one place, we will mail the paper to any address required. Last year John Marston, an industrious mechanic, of Saratoga Springs, secured a prize of \$60 for his efforts in procuring subscribers to this paper, he assured us that he should be understood that our local or travelling agents are not allowed to compete for the prizes.

Railroad Accidents --- The Remedy.

On the 10th inst. a terrible collision took the account of the investigation in the daily FRANCE ON THE SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN.importance, and the plaintiff gained the case. place on the Camden and Amboy Railroad, papers, and thought you were rather severe in New Jersey, between the train from New Speaking of the Scientific American the language and not correct in opinion. Since Paris " Invention " says :-York and the one from Philadelphia, by then. Thomas Service, the engineer, has died "The excellent illustrated journal of Messrs. which four persons were killed and seven from injuries he received by the explosion, Munn & Co., is a vast panorama, exhibiting others wounded. On the New York and and having again read over the proceedings of New Haven Railroad the next morning (11th) the wonders of the civilization and industry of the coroner's investigation in the Reily case. North America. We take pleasure in introthe night train came in contact with a mass my opinions have undergone a change, and I ducing this publication to any who may deof rock which had rolled down on the track, now believe you were right. With that fais justified in suing the "Tribune" for libel, sire to examine it or to subscribe for it." by which the engineer was killed and the culty of detecting error which justly characte-We feel obliged for this polite introduction but neither was it right for that paper to ad- fireman severely wounded. The Coroner's rizes the Scientific American, your remarks to the French people. We do intend truly mit the advertisement of Singer, containing, Jury in the case of the New Jersey collision were not too severe-the decision was an to exhibit the "wonders" of American inas it did, such pointed and offensive language. inquest, was composed of no less than twentyoutrageous" one. R. M. dustrial progress, and they will be shown The "Tribune" stated "it did not believe one persons, sixteen of whom, in our opinion, Aug. 11th, 1853. A Mechanic. have returned a morally wrong verdict. The conspicuously in the fore ground of our panothere was a man mean enough to sue them [Only that our attention has been painfully rama. But it is also our ambition faithfully inquest was held at Oldbridge, Middlesex directed to this case again, by the death of lawyer to be found mean enough to underto represent all the most notable events of Co., and the substance of the decision is, another viewer would not have a lied a European industry; indeed, we desire to take the case? . The "Tribune," we-helieve. " that the collision was sourced by the gros brief word to the brief remarks referred to by carelessness of John Anderson, the engineer ot make our paper merit the title of the panoraour correspondent. the New York train running at an unusual ma of the world's industry. speed by the station and around the curve at Murder has stalked and now stalks through OCEAN TELEGRAPH.-One of our city dailies our city and land so brazen-faced, in the form Oldbridge. They also found the conductor in speaking of the proposed ocean telegraph censurable for omiting to compare his watch of steam boiler explosions, and we have so says :with that of the engineer, and the standard often in vain directed the attention of lawful " The telegraph, now, is not always reliable, authorities, and "the people," to such cases, clock at the New York station." The Jury libel he may have nothing to do. but if it comes to telling real fish stories, will exonerated the company from all blame and that we almost consider it labor lost to say a

In connection with the above cases, another melancholy collision took place on the Providence and Worcester Railroad, on the morning of the 12th inst, by which 12 persons were killed, and 25 more or less injured. An excursion train out of time, was met by a regular train, running at a rapid rate, and both trains were dashed together and interlocked. This accident could not have taken place on a double track. Our railroad system is bad, and many of the lines are mismanaged with the most glaring recklessness mixed with gross stupidity. Our people should awake to a true sense of their duty; the remedy for railroad accidents is merely a performance of duty. ees this of a

Pratt's Steam Boiler Explosion.

MESSRS. EDITORS-On page 368 of the Scientific American (three weeks ago) the decision of the jury in the case of Thomas Reily was published, which decision you characterized as an "outrageous" one-not creditable to coroner or jury. At that time I had read

gard it as a great favor if our subscribers | ing of two locomotives, will cost about maker of a boiler is not to be held responsible would call their neighbors' attention to it. \$20,000-a dead loss-and perhaps five times for making a cheap boiler, if he only warrants scalds five or six persons, because its lid was We hope not only to profit ourselves, but also reformed. The people can do this by legisla- tied down by the person who was using it ? Surely not. We therefore believe that the maker of Pratt's boiler, this Mr. Nobody, was not the least to blame tor its explosion, but those who were using it. Mr. Pratt bought it cheap, and assumed all the responsibilities of using it, for the safety or danger of those around it.

At the present moment there are hundreds of these powder magazine boilers in our city, to the great danger of the lives of our citizens. There should be boiler inspectors to examine and watch the condition and workings of steam boilers in every city, so as to check unscrupulous men from carrying dangerous high pressure steam in inefficient boilers. Of one thing we are sure, however, if a hundred boiler explosions were to take place in a single day in our city, and a thousand lives sacrificed, nobody would be to blame, if all the Coroner's juries were composed of the same materials, and were guided by the same principles, as the jury in the case of the death of Thomas Reily, by the explosion of "Pratt's Boiler."

Events of the Week.

389