Scientific American.

Scientific American

NEW-YORK, MAY 9, 1852.

The New York Times and the Patent Laws In the New York Daily Times of the 26th ult. there is a long article on the Patent Laws there is also one as long in the Times of the 29th ult., in answer to a correspondent. The Times asserts that patents have been and are an evil, and that it would be better to abolish the present system of Patent Laws. It does not propose the abolition of the present Patent Laws, however, without offering a substitute, like all great statesmen; and, alas, such a substitute! But we almost forgot, it offers two substitutes; let us consider them apart. Here is the first one :---

" If we are not prepared to abolish the patent system at once, there would be a slight, nay, more than a slight advantage in confining the business of the office to the granting of caveats. Let the inventor have no other bonus than what he may make in retaining his secret, and getting it fairly and fully in the market, a year before his competitors. If his improvement be valuable, and meet a mechanical want, the profit he may thus secure will. we fancy, equal the largest desert. And then, at least, the enormous taxation now levied upon the public will suffer a very considerable reduction. The working poor man will the sooner enter upon equal terms of competition with the speculating rich one. And there will be quite little, perhaps no litigation."

This extract, which we quote from the Times of the 26th, is one of the most sublimely ridiculous emanations that we ever saw in print. Until now, we could not have believed that there was a single American man, woman, or child, that could read, so profoundly ignorant of what a caveat is, and of our Patent Laws. But we live in strange times, Benthamite-doctrine times.

A caveat is simply the description of an invention not yet completed, which the inventor sends to the Patent Office with a fee of \$20, requesting it to be filed in the confidential archives of the Patent Office, and it contains this statement, "prior to making application for a patent for the same."

If another person makes application for a the Patent Office; it is no protection whatever, and there is no such a thing as a writ of Caveat granted: it is no bonus, and never can in the name of common sense is evolved by the expression, "let the inventor have no other the largest desert."

tion, &c. We will present the substance It is related, in the life of Watt, that whenquantity of *fancy* here. The Times surely Not the city government's altogether. Let the matter of this able pamphlet to our readers at means to have a new law enacted, by which ever he took up any invention, after he saw merchants in Broadway do their duty; let an early date. an inventor will be compelled, whenever he it would not pay, he threw it aside at once, them units together and get rid of the evil in and wise and right was he. The Times makes an improvement, to describe the same Sad Death of a Good Mechanic and Inventor. their street, which they can easily do, and this speaks of inventors as if they were a lot of to the Patent Office, which will grant a Ca-Junius S. Alcott, of Oriskany Falls, who will do night for the credit of our city. It knaves and fools. But look at the compenveat for one year-a beware to the public,--is our opinion they would save the amount in has left behind him a name on his lathe, duafter which the Patent Office will reveal the sation which the Times asserts is sufficient for the better sale of their goods, if they themring a fit of temperary insanity, committee a true inventor, "the sense of a benefit consame for the benefit of the public. This is suicide on the 26th nlt., by throwing himself selves should pay to keep the street clean. ferred, and the gratitude of his fellows are the plain inference to be drawn from the lanbetween the water-wheel and pinion of the amply sufficient compensation," for his discoguage of the Times,-or it is nonsense. It is Russia Sheet-Iron. woolen factory in that place. He was killed very. How would the Editor of the Times MESSRS. EDITORS-From your remarks on instantly. His loss will be much felt. We nonsense, for no law can be made that will like to be remunerated by this kind of sufficompel an inventor to reveal his secret in one "Russia Iron and Patents," in the Scientific knew him well, and deeply regret his sudden cient compensation, for the ideas he evolves. vear : and no inventor would then be so con-American of the 24th April, I infer you have and unhappy departure from among us. His The mouse would often stagger from his flour temptibly foolish as to reveal his secret at all no knowledge of the manufacture f "Imitapartner, Mr. Couch, was in this city when the barrel, with the tear in its eye, we guess, if he, in one year, or twenty years, while he can tion Russia" sheet-iron in this country, I unfortunate event occurred. the Editor, depended on the gratitude of his keep it to himself. The caveat-bonus of the therefore take the liberty of informing you Times, is therefore one of the modern Benthafellows for sufficient compensation. We have received the thirty-first Anthat I have (with my brothers) been supplymite wonders of literature. The expression As a matter of justice and right, we hold to ing that great desideratum since 1842, in which nual Report of the Mercantile Association and about the capitalist and working-man and the doctrine that inventors should be paid for year we obtained United States Letters Pasupplement to the catalogue. This institution enormous taxation, are quite unintelligible to their inventions by the community. Inventent for the successful discovery of a process is one of the best in our city, and we are happy us; we do not know what the Times means. tions do not tax, but relieve, the people. If a of giving to sheet-iron (in its manufacture) to know that it is in a highly prosperous con-But this is not the only remedy for the predition : it numbers shout 4,000 members, and person does not wish to pay for a patented im- that beautiful finish and durable gloss and lussent patent system proposed by the Times; provement, why, he need not use it: the pa-Itre, heretofore only known in Russia; last has a library of over 30,000 volumes.

here is another, and it is a perfection one :-"These half-way measures, however, are seldom satisfactory. All the evils were better reached and cut away at once; and to effect this, nothing will answer but the abrogation of the entire code. As a substitute for it, we know of nothing better than a system of bounties. Replace the present troop of officers and examiners, with a commission composed of a due number of practical mechanics, and mechanical philosophers, before whom the inventor may lay his claims. Let them be prepared with an accurate knowledge of what improvements are required in mechanics, science, or art; and in passing upon the invention, let them determine not merely, as now. its title to originality, but to real and universal utility. If it be of intrinsic value, let them from such a sentiment-"thou hast no gratirecommend its purchase by Government for the people; and let the Treasury pay for it. If it be of secondary importance, or of no importance whatever, let them so decide it, and

eave it to make what way it can among purchasers without the official sanction."

The cloven toot peeps out here, whether intentionally or not. Yes, here it is. The present patent system does not allow political Galpinizing and Gardenerizing; it allows no spoils to the party victors: they do not get their hands into the Treasury to rob the people, in giving Uncle Sam's money out to favorite miserable inventors. The "practical mechanics and philosophers " of the Commission would be men as ignorant of their business as the Times is of a Caveat. This system of government rewards would answer well for political leaders, but the people of these United States are too enlightened to adopt such views. Patents do not tax anybody; but those who, like the Times, are ignorant of our Patent Laws, and who allow themselves to be cheated when they could easily prevent it by making themselves acquainted with the said laws. Here is another extract :----

"The inventor of real merit will invent, just as the author of real genius will write, even though there be positive laws against them. There is a necessity in these things. Accident is the parent of a vast number of discoveries; deliberate invention of the few; and no pecuniary reward will stimulate the latter into activity. The necessities of mankind, not the laws, prompt the loftiest reaches of inven-

and make his application for a patent within nerous and efficient scavenger we have got. this extract. The inventor will not invent, On the 25th ult., as the Prairie State was three months. This is the Caveo-beware-of In wet weather, our most noted streetpassing out from Pekin, on the Illinois River, nor will the literary genius write with posi-Broadway, is generally six inches deep with the flues of her larboard boiler collapsed, and tive laws against them; it were just as true mud, and when this dries up, and a good sad to relate, some twenty persons were killto say "the prisoner will exercise his natural breeze comes sweeping along, it is quite as ed and wounded. The ends of the boiler fiew instincts of liberty even if his limbs were mabe. Perhaps the Times means a new kind of great a feat to travel up our grand promeout, and but for a quantity of hay stored in the nacled to the stone floor of a dungeon." Some Caveat; if so, we would like to know what nading street. as to journey across the Great boat, the loss would have been much greater. discoveries have been made by accident, but kind of bonus he could make out of it. What Desert. American travellers are exceedingly A bill is now before the United States Seall our great and useful mechanical inventions foolish to journey to Egypt for a sight of the nate, (which we hope will pass), for the prehave been the result of much study, toil, exsandy plains. Only for the intervals of mud, vention of accidents by explosions. We have pense, and research. Necessity is not at all bonus than what he may make in retaining his the camel might be a more useful animal times "the mother of invention." What use received a copy of this bill, and will have more secret, and getting it tairly and fully in the among us than the horse. We have repeatto say about it next week. We have also rehad Whitney for a Cotton Gin for himself. market a year before his competitors.-the edly called attention to our dirty streets, ceived the pamphlet of A. Guthrie, engineer of profit he may thus secure will, we fancy, equal Watt for a Steam Engine, or the painter Fulbut we suppose it will be all in vain the Chicago Water Works, on the causes of ton for a Steamboat? Rewards excite-not to expect a reform, and yet the remedy explosions on the Mississippi, their preventhe necessities of mankind-inventive genius. There can be no doubt but there is a great could soon be applied. Whose fault is it?

teatee cannot compel any one to use his invention : and those who use it and pay for it. do so because they consider the improvement relieves them of a tax,—it gives a good new improvement for a clumsy, inefficient machine. We have now cheap newspapers by inventions for making type, paper, and printing machines, while no improvement in composing has been made in two hundred years. Would those improvements have been made, and would we now have such cheap newspapers. if the improvers had depended for compensation on the gratitude of their fellows? We what has already been achieved, and with trow not. It may do very well to raise up an ideal true man, but human nature will be human nature, and the man who speaks of such compensation for useful discoveries, surely did not see the conclusion any person would draw tude.

Manilla Rope.

A correspondent inquires of us if we know of any compound or solution "that would prevent manilla rope becoming tender and weak by exposure to heat and smoke, especially to those engaged in the foundry business," and adds, "iron chain cannot be depended on." We are confident that good iron chain can be trusted. We have never tried any personal experiments with the ropes ; it is our opinion, however, that if rope were steeped in alum, (say about 1 lb. of alum to 20 lbs. of rope) dissolved in as much water as would cover the rope, in a tub, and let it lie there a few hours, then take it out, wash it in cold water. and then dry it, that it would stand the heat and smoke a great deal better. The rope would have to be thus treated before it was put up on the crane. The alum is a good substance for imparting a partially tanned and non-combustible character to vegetable substances, such as rope. This mode of treating the rope may not be convenient, in that case weak alum water might be applied to the rope with a brush, and then let it dry on the crane We know of no plaster composition (like tar) that would answer. But it must not be forgotten, that all rope will wear out by continual usage, hence a sharp eye must always be kept upon t-as sharp as that upon the flattow of a coal pit, where life is in continual dan-

The Streets of New York.

We have been in many cities at home, and tive talents. And to the true man, who patent for a like invention during the term in the fire better than a specimen from Mcin the Old World, but no one can at all come evolves a discovery calculated to benefit his Keesport orks; Wood's sheet-iron is a beauof one year from the filing of the caveat, the up to our great empire city for dirt and dust race, the sense of such a benefit conferred, and Patent Office, before acting on the said applitiful article, and does credit to the gentlemen it is the Magnus Apollo of all others. The the gratitude of his fellows, are amply suffication, sends word to the person who files the who discovered the improvements. great benefactor of our citizens is a heavy cient compensation." caveat, to prepare his model and specifications, shower of rain; it appears to be the only ge-There is very little truth in the whole of Another Explosion.

ger.

year we obtained another patent, from the U. S., for an improvement made in our modus operandi-and we now have in successful operation the "Delaware Iron Works," in Delaware: "Constitution Iron Works," near this city, and the " McKeesport Iron Works," near Pittsburg, Pa.-making an article equal to the Russian, of which we sold in New York alone, last year, 200 tons or more. Whether we have hit upon the secret mode of Russia or not, we do not pretend to know-nor do we believe that it is yet known out of Russia; there have been so many different candidates claiming that honor, with as many different modes that nothing less than the most substantial and conclusive proof of the discovery of the secret, should satisfy any one. And we not think Congress should pass a law granting the exclusive right to any one to manufacture. this article by the Russian process, without such proof being furnished, and not then if such law should conflict with patents already granted. ALAN WOOD.

269

No. 3 North Fifth street, Phila.

|Mr. Wood is greatly mistaken if he supposes we ever discuss any question without being well acquainted with it. If he had been a careful reader of the Scientific American, he would have noticed that our subjects are carefully considered, and all our remarks well digested.

We perfectly agree with him, about Congress not granting the exclusive right to any one to make Russia Iron, without the requisite proof spoken of, and there is no fear that Congress will do so. We also assure him that the requisite proof has already been furnished. Before we penned the article referred to, we examined specimens of the iron made by the said process, also specimens of Wood's sheetiron.

We also asusre Mr. Wood that we know the whole process by which it is made, and if it be not better than that of Wood & Bros., then he need not be a fraid of his craft, for assuredly it will do him more good than harm; the process must stand or fall upon its own merits. Is Mr. Wood afraid of it?

If Congress should grant a patent to-morrow which would conflict with any one in existence, then the one granted by the special act would be an intringement of the other and the users of it liable for damages. The specimens of the sheet-iron referred to, which we saw, were very excellent, and stood burning