Scientific American.

Scientific American

NEW-YORK, APRIL 17, 1852.

The Wheeling Bridge-Steamboat Chimneys.

The Supreme Court of the United States has rendered its decision in the case of Pennsylvania, versus the Wheeling Bridge Co. The State of Pennsylvania brought an action te restrain the said company from obstructing the navigation of the Ohio river, and injuring the plaintiff, in respect to steamboats running from Pittsburg down, and to it up, said steamboats having to pass under the bridge. The complaint was-the bridge is a law, and it must be wrongly stated above. entire repeal of the Patent Laws, which would the exact propeller in such general use in Enga nuisance-an obstruction to the passage of The ascent of smoke up a chimney depends be an unfortunate thing for the progress of land. certain steamboats which have high funnels, during high water in the river. The decision rendered is, that the bridge is an obstruction, and although some questions are not fully decided, it amounts almost to an order," the bridge must come down." Chief Justice Taney dissents single and alone from the decision, and has given his reasons for so doing. He does not discuss the question directly, whether or not the bridge is an obstruction; he takes the ground that the United States Courts have no jurisdiction over the matter. He believes his brethren have committed a grave error in their decision, as the court has no law to guide them, and the jurisdiction exercised is without a precedent. We agree with him; Congress alone has power over this case. The bridge is in the State of Virginia, over an inland river, and Congress has made no laws for deciding such a case. It has power, no doubt, to do so, but in exercising it, how will Congress proceed ? The decision of the courtasserts that there were seven steamboats with high funnels, which were obstructed in their passages during high water; it also asserts that by increasing the height of the chimney of one boat its speed was increased, and cutting down another, its speed was decreased. To pass the bridge during high water, part of the chimneys of these boats would have to be lowered by an apparatus. This was an obstruction truly, and a bad one. None of the chimneys were under 60 feet, and those of one boat were over 80 teet high. If Congress attempts to pass a law on the subject, some knotty questions will come up, such as " will we allow bridges with draws, or shall we prescribe a certain height for steamboat chimneys ?" There were various opinions a bout the scientific questions of draughtand the height of steamboat chimneys. We have not seen all the evidence on the subject; it would be a treat to us to examine it, for we are confident that witnesses of repute have given queer testimony. The Supreme Court adopted the view that long chimneys promoted the draught. Here is what the court says :-

Professors Renwick, Byrne, and Locke say, ney-the force and velocity being measured by the difference in the weight between the column of air within the chimney and an outside column of equal height and diameter: so that a reduction of the height of the chimney furnace, or steam generated in the boiler, and the boat propelled.

it should persist in this position, but it exdeduction of sciencealsoshows that the [draught | of a patented machine, by any person or per- patent, after the patent was granted. It was pands again its fore-wings, rests them upon is increased by elongating the chimneys." In sons, without the consent of the patentee, shall held that the patent never had conferred any the air which uplifts it and moves forward. this question economy of fuel is not the ob- be deemed an infringement of the patent." benefit upon the public, which is the object of Again it closes its fore-wings, and glides downject to be attained, but the greatest practica- Now, by this clause, a man who purchases a the patent law. The testimony of Thomas ward to rise again. The flight of the butterble speed, consistent with safety. And this is coat or pair of pantaloons, or a shoe last, or a Lloyd, Superintendent of the Machinery Defly is nothing else than a succession of sliding attained where there is no defect in the fur-planed plank, must first find out whether or partmentor the Navy, given in the case, is the movements up and down inclined planes. To nace by the combustion of the largest amount not these articles have been produced by most elaborate and instructive ever presented create these inclined planes at pleasure in the of fuel. Forty-three bushels of bituminous How's Sewing Machine, Blanchard's Last on the subject of propellers. He stated that air is the basis of this locomotive system, and coal are consumed per hour by each of the Machine, or Woodworth's Planing Machine, a sixth part of an entire tum of a screw was this he thinks he has succeeded in doing. Pittsburg packets. and if so, get the consent of the patentee to 'that which was used in the navy, and this was This much we must say, however, that there The commissioner says, "in relation to the use it or them, or be liable as in cases of in- adopted after many expensive experiments to should be no comparisons made between waquestion whether chimneys as high as those fringement of the patent. It may be said, find out the best form and best relation of ter and the air as mediums for locomotion. now in use upon the Pittsburg and Cincinnati |"this is no objection, for the buyer need not parts. A screw divided into two halves was The nature of the two is altogether different; packets, or some of the largest crafts on the know that it is the product of a patented ma- first tried on the "Rattler," a vessel built and the one is compressible and is agitated for Ohio, are necessary for obtaining a maximum chine;" this is true, but then the plain infe- fitted forthis very purpose of testing the screw, miles in depth by a storm, the other is not of speed desirable in the navigation of the river, rence of the section is in the use of the word by making experiments. This was in 1843, and compressible; there are no currents created there is a diversity of opinion among the wit- willful, to make a man liable for every board from a screw of two blades-two halves of a by winds in the ocean.

in favor of the necessity of very high chim- It would be far better to pass a supplementa- screws were tried. neys, upon the large Ohio steamboats."

Here it states that there was a difference of that as enunciated above, we do not underon the comparative lightness of the column of heated air within and an equal column of the atmosphere; the longer the chimney, therefore, the greater will be the draught, provided | thereunto belonging, describing any invention the fire affords sufficient heat to warm the air, or discovery in the arts or sciences, that may and certainly there is always plenty of heat be certified as exact copies of the originals or in our steamboat fires. But in some cases the of the records, or rolls, or files thereof, by any draught of a chimney has been lessened by in- consul or vice-consul of the United States, creasing its height. If a chimney like the under his hand and official seal, shall be read iron funnel of a steamboat were to be construc- ! in evidence in any suit, either under proceedted so high, and exposed to an external atmos- ings on scire facias, in equity, or at law, in phere that would condense the air at the top any of the courts of the United States. And lumn within, the smoke would be forced the same penalties for falsely certifying any down; there must therefore be a point-a line; of said papers as exists in other cases of issuthat line is very flexible. There was a light said certified copies shall be subject to disprohouse in the Isle of Portland, which had a val according to the rules of the common law." smoky chimney; it was erected considerably

Reformed Patent Law.

gress, has passed to a second reading. We cases before the Commissioner of Patents, or cannot say that we have any fault to find the Judge on an appeal, then we could find We will quote the sections and make a few remarks on them :-

the knowing and willful sale by a factor, or quent expungement of it. the knowing and willful use by an incorporated company, or a company enjoying the rights of incorporation, or the knowing and willful use or sale by any person or persons, without the consent and authority of the patentee, of the product of a patented machine, or invention, or discovery, whether said product be of infringement."

This section has been introduced to protect | cy-a rule which we are afraid our Senators in vacuo, wanting support or counterpoise, the owners of the Blanchard patent for ma- are about to make a law by section 8 of the it will sink and be depressed. A cannonthat by a law of nature the force and velocity of king shoemakers' lasts, and the owners of the new Patent Bill. In the said article we staball and a humming bird's feather will drop a draught depend upon the height of the chim-Woodworth Planing Machine against the un- ted that, as Lowe's patent was about to exin vacuo in the same time, which is not the fair competition of persons in Canada, who pire, application had been made to the Privy case in the open air. Every movement is the result of two have been running Blanchard's and Wood- Council for its extension, and that a hearing of worth's machines there, sending over the lasts, the case would soon be had. It has been actforces; the influence of gravity, and the resistance of the surrounding medium. The butand lumber here, and selling them at a lower edon; the case occupied five days in hearing price than the owners of these machines in before Lord Craworth, Sir Knight Bruce, and terfly, says M. Petin, with its four wings involves a diminution of that force with New York could, and pay the stated tax to the Sir Edward Rvan. The most eminent counstretched out, lies in a horizontal plane.which nature supplies air to combine with When it wants to move forward, it partly patentees. There should be ample protection sel were employed on the part of Mr. Lowe, fuel for combustion, and by consequence there to our people who have paid and do pay the and the different Screw Boat Companies oppo closes its wings, and disposes them like a tollows a diminution of heat developed in the patentees for the right of using patents; but, sed it by eminent counsel also. It appeared wedge or an inverted roof. Its body then is at the same time, it is our opinion that some from evidence, that the practical value of the barely supported by the hind upper wings, it of power by which the wheel is moved, and glides along the inclined plane. It would fall, part of this section should be struck out or use of the screw, as a propeller, was demonlike an unskilled swimmer, head foremost, if more carefully elaborated. It provides that strated and rendered a public benefit indepen-The commissioner in his report says, "the "the knowing and willful use of the product dent of and without a knowledge of Lowe's

nesses, especially among those who are not in his house, unless he has the consent of the turn, they commenced to reduce it so as to find

ry act, for the relief of the owners of the : The decision in this case will remove the Blanchard and Woodworth patents, than fears of some of our screw steamship compaopinion among those not acquainted with the enact such a section as this, making it a nies. At the same time another decision was scientific principle of drought. We must say, principle in our Patent Code. We wish to given against the extension of Taylor's patent see inventors and patentees protected, and for the flat bladed propeller. There is still stand it either, and we should like to know they can be fully but do not pass a bill that one screw propeller patent in existence in who does-are these opinions scientific ones? may lead to such aggravating actions among. England, viz., Capt. Carpenter's, which may that is the question. Neither the force nor our people, as will lead them, in a few years, create some trouble, but we scarcely anticithe velocity of the draught is regulated by such as has been threatened by some, to demand an pate any, although he sets up the claim for the Arts :-

"Sec. 12. And be it further enacted, That copies of foreign patents and the specifications so as to render it heavier than the heated co- the consul or vice-consul shall be subject to -for the proper height of every chimney, but ing false certificates: Provided, also, That

We certainly have strong objections to this higher, and this made it a great deal worse, section. It should be struck out entirely. If Prof Faraday was commissioned to examine a patenthas not been published in any printed it, and cured it by cutting down the chimney, work, it should be excluded as evidence. There and making the top of a concavo form outside. is no necessity for the passage of such a provision in the Bill. We do not see; we cannot divine how such a provision got into it.

The Bill to amend the Patent Laws, which If the section merely mentioned that such has been before the two last Sessions of Con- patents might be used as evidence in contested with it, except the 8th and 12th sections, no fault. We could give many good reasons which we think should not pass as they stand. why this provision should not be included in this Bill, but to us, it appears that just calling the attention of the Senate to the subject, will "Sec. 8. And be it further enacted, That lead to a more full consideration and subse-

The Great Propeller Case in England.

Our readers will remember the account we presented, on page 165, of the great patent trial in England, whereby injunctions were granted to restrain a Dutch Company from running their screw steamships in British wamade in this or any foreign country, shall be iters, because they infringed the patent of a deemed an infringement within the meaning Mr. Lowe, which was dated 1838. We staof this act; and the party or parties so selling | ted, in the article referred to, how injurious ing or using, shall be liable as in other cases, such a decision would be to the interests of commerce, if adopted as a rule in patent poli-

acquainted with the scientific principle of patentee tor its use. We hope this clause will out the smallest section sufficient for the purchimney-draught in reference to the combus- receive more attention from Senator Norris. pose. It was found that two blades of 1-6 tion of fuel for the generation of steam. But Do not leave it so ambiguous, and do not let area-1-3 total-were more efficient than I think there is a great preponderance of it pass as it is, or it may lead to most unfortu-others. Blades above and under 1-6 did not the testimony, even of that class of witnesses | nate results in the daily transactions of life. give such good results. No less than thirty

Aerial Navigation.

On Tuesday evening last week, M. Petin, a French gentleman, whose name has been before the public in France for some time, delivered a lecture in the Broadway Tabernacle, this city, on the subject of Navigating the Air. He was prevented from attempting to carry out his system in France, by that blessed law of the President, which forbids the assembly of large bodies of the people in one place. He has come here expecting to receive the encouragement of the American people in endeavoring to give his system a practical test, which he will soon attempt at Union Course. L. I. He had an interpreter, who translated what he said. Mr. Petin is a man of great seriousness, having implicit confidence in his own system, and he is determined to lose his life or do something great. The audience was not large. In front was a large painting showing his machine. It was composed of a frame like that of a steamboat hanging below three large spherical balloons. A model of it was exhibited : it had two side screw propellers and wings capable of being set at different angles, to direct the machine down or up, like the wings of a butterfly, or hawk. A steam engine is to be employed, and he expects that aerial navigation by his system will yet make all nations a universal republic. He said he had discovered no new law, but had been an attentive observer of nature. The use of the three balloons, (or two will answer), is to provide inverted sustainers of the car, resting on the medium of the atmosphere, the same as a weight attached to something which floats on water, the double balloon made to equipoise the car, like two scales attached to a beam, the one acting as a counterbalance to the other. M. Petin had acquired his knowledge of the principles of aerial navigation, by studying the motions of men, fish, and birds. All bodies move, because they meet with a certain resistance round themselves, or, in the medium which surrounds them, let that medium be water or air. A body will not move

245