
$\square$ Reported expressly for the Scientifio Ameri－
can，from the Patent Office Records．
LIST OF PATENT CLAIMS
Issued from the United States Patent Office． for the week ending november $27,1850$. To Smith Beers，of Naugatuck，Conn．，for impr I claim the mode herein described，of cha ing the position of the ratchet，by means of the arrangement of the sliding rod，knee，lev－ er，lifting plate，and pawl．
To H．J．Betjemann of Cincinnati，Ohio，for im－ provem
tises．
I claim，first，the rotating eutters，（five） formed and arranged substantially as descri－ bed，with conical heads and cylindrical necks， in combination with a rest or movable table， for the reception and attachment of the bed－ post，the said table，while being advanced to－ wardo the cutters，being conducted by suitable guides（three）as described，either upon the moving table or the stationary bench，in a course which is at first at right－angles to the face of the post，and thence，as soon as the cylindrical cutter has begun to act in a longi－ tudinal course，receding sufficiently＇from the face of the post to form a mortise which shal bind the dovetailed tenons of the rail，as they are pressed down in their sockets．
Second，I claim，in combination with the aforesaid guides，the stops，substantially as here arranged and applied，or their equivalents， whereby the table is liraited in its course to the particular range of cutting action re quired for the time being
To Joseph Dilks，of Philadelphia，Pa．，for improve－
ment in the Alarm and Indicator for Steam Boilers ment in the Alarm and Indicator for Steam Boilers，
I claim the peculiar method of moving the indicator by its attachment to the side valve of the whistles，by which the connection is continued through the head of the boiler，as herein described，dispensing with the stuffing． box and packing．
To W．H．Horton，of New buryport，Mass．，for im－ proved arrangement of the bending rollers in tin cut ting and bending machines．
I claim to so combine and arrange the roll－ ers，with respect to the jaws，as specified，so as to enable the said roller to be operated in the manner substantially as set forth；that is to say，to be moved in a plane parallel to the common axes of the shaits，the said roller be－ ing arranged in a turning frame and supported by a movable and adjusting frame；and the object of my improvement being to enable a person to move the roller against the tin in the manner and for the pur pose of linding it down， substantially as hereinbefore explained．
To Wm．Kelly，of Eddyville，for improve
the Metallic Flask for oasting large Kattles．
the Metallic Flask for oasting large Kettles．
I claim the elastic iron core，supporter，or inner part of the flask，constructed of wings attached to the crowr，and provided with cov－ ering stripy，substantially as described． To Orville Mather，of Cincinnati，Ohio，for improve
ment in machines for Dressing Spokes．
I claim，first，constructing a cylindrically rotating cutter head，with a separating joint athwart its middle，and in the plane of its ro－ tation，so arranged as that，by the mutual ad－ vance or recession（in the direction of their axis of rotation）of the respective sections of tho cutter heads，as they traverse the length of the stuff，the cutting edges are adapted to impart the varying outline and form required for the work．
Second，the shafts and weighted levers，in combination with other levers，and the links， or their equivalents，for sustaining in position the tongues upon the spoke，and the rollers upon the guides，and rendering them self－ad－ justable under all the circumstances which can affect them．
To Melville Otis，of East Bridgewater，Mass．，for
improved Nail Plate Feeder improved Nail Plate Feeder and Turner．
claim，first，giving the alternating motion
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to the nipper rod，by means of a pair of jaw， actuated by the opposite ends of a vibrating beam，one of the jaws being provided with spring and toggle，which causes it to grasp and release the nipper rod，the whole operating． substantially as described．
Second，I claim giving to the said rod ity progressive advancing and slightly retrograde motions，by means of a pair of jaws actuated by a cam and an eccentric，and two springs， substantially as specified．
Third，I claim operating the follower，so that it is raised from the nail plate，and the nail plate from the lower cutting jaw of the machine，by means of a cam，a rock shaft， and a radius bar connected to one e
follower，substantially as．described．
Lastly，I claim transmitting the motion from the nail machine，by means of a lever beam and connecting rods，when the lever beam is hung upon a cranked centre，and the actuating connecting rod is provided with a knob acting upon a flat crank pin，substantial ly as described，whereby I am enabled，with facility，to throw my feeding machinery in and out of gear．
But I do not intend，hereby，to confine my self to the particular forms and proportion herein described，provided I construct a ma chine substantially the same．
To Harvey W．Sabin，of Canandaigua，N．Y．，for
I claim，in my improved horse－rake，the de－ vice for raising the teeth，substantially to clear them of the hay，and dropping them again，by means of the apparatus，substantially as de－ scribed，being worked by the draught of the team，when thrown into gear，at the will of the operator．
To Elisha Steele，of Waterbury，Conn．，for im
I claim the
I claim the construction of the buckleframe and attaching the tongue or points thereto，so that the tongue or points slide out or into the buckle，instead of acting upon a hinge or roll－ er，as above described．
To Augustus Thayer，of Melden Bridge，N．Y．，for
improved Auger Handle． Improved Auger Handle．
I claim the construction of auger handles， substantially as set forth，that is，by making the principal part of the same，from end to end，of one piece of wood，or other material， securing the central purtion through which the auger shank passes，with a metal band，and arranging a detent for holding the shank with machinery to operate it；the said handles be－ ing for use with augers，or any ether tools to which it may be adapted．
To J．T．Trotter，of New York，N．Y．，for improve ment in Vulcanizing Iadia Rubber．
I claim the use and employment of zinc pre－ pared by the process described，whereby a hy posulphite，or similar preparation of zinc，is obtained，in combining with india rubber，for the purpose of curing or vulcanizing it，sub stantially as hereinbefore set forth，without the use of free sulphur in any way，in combi nation with the rubber．

## RE－issues．

To Charles Davenport \＆Albert Bridges，of Cam－
bridgeport，Mass．，for improvement in the manner or constructing Railroad Carriages，so as to ease the ateral motion of the bodies thereof．First patented May 4th， 1841.
We claim connecting the said turning bear ing to the truck frame of the above described kind，resting on four wheels or more，by a me－ chanism，substantially such as described，that shall not only allow such turning bearing in－ dependently of the wheels and axles a lateral play movement or movements，in direction transversely of the carriage，but bring or move
it back to its central position after the latera deflective force has ceased to act．
designs．
To Samuel Pierce of Troy，N．Y．，（absignor Jolinson Cox \＆Fuller，）designf or Stoves．

Cotton Spindles of the World．
The London Examiner gives the following tables as an estimate of the number of spindles engaged in the cotton manufactory through out the world：－Great Britian，17，500，000； France，4，300，000；United States，2，500，000 Zollverein States，815，000；Russia，700，000 Switzerland， 650,000 ；Belgium，420，000 Spain，300，000；Italy，300，000．Total， 28 585,000 ．

Gravitation－what it is．
Under this heading，a writer（W．K．）in last week＇s Scientific American，puts forth some deas，which are incorrect and contradictory He says－
＂Gravitation is that property of matter by which it resists a change of state，with res pect to motion or rest．This will，no doubt e considered a sweeping declaration．＂\＆c It is a sweeping declaration，but only a decla ation．If he had used the word inertia in tead of＂gravitation，＂he would have given us，word for word，the very proposition of Newton，as relating to vis insita；the only difference between the two is，that Newton is nearly right，while W．K．is the very opposite If gravitation is that law which resists change of state，as set forth above，it is ver easy to prove it．It is just this－every body nce in motion，must forever continue in mo ontinull，shot from a rifle，will orever tion，you see，therefore it will resist a change of state to rest．Now，how is it that a ball， hot from a rifle，is brought to a state of rest？ We can easily understand how it gets motion， r，in other words，has changed its state of rest，viz．，by the impact of a superior force but，then，no powder，no human hand touches it while fleeting through the heavens；and how does it come to a state of rest？Tabe way the known law of gravitation－that principle of attraction in all bodies－and w annot explain it ；but with it wecan explain the phenomenon．By the laws of Inertia and Gravitation，we can explain all the deflections， all the motions，and the forms of moving bo dies，but not by the declaration above．The whole of the reasoning，to prove his proposi ion，is inappropriate．I cannot see how it pplies to，or dovetails with，his text．In－ tead of proving his proposition，his exposi tion relates wholly to the composition of for es，an entirely different subject．One of th most singular ideas set forth by him is this－
＂If this is the true philosophy of gravita tion，then we are at ol．ce introduced to the true reason why its force is always in pro portion to the quantity of matter．When we lift a stone from the earth，we separate two bodies，containing a vast amount of matter or which reason we experience a great oppo－ ition．When the stone is small，it leave the eurth to move only a small distance，it is true，but as all motion is mutual，and propor－
 proportion．When we double the recede her the stone to be raised，then，although the quantity of matter contained in both is the same，yet because the earth，the greater of the wo，is obliged to recede twice the distance we experience twice the difficulty in raising it we therefore say，the stone has twice the weight of the former．＂
Now，sir，this is not correct ：force is not always in proportion to the quantity of mat ter．Laboring force embraces weight，or gra vity，and velocity．One body having just hal the quantity of matter as another，may hav the same amount of force，yea，ten times the amount．A ball，weighing one ounce，has a much force as one weighidg a pound，if the ame quantity of powder is used to prope each．W
is droll．
drol．
He forgets that there are properties belong ing to matter which are named magnitude and density，and these properties must be consider ed apart from distance or space．One pound moved through 100 feet of space，in one se－ cond，has as much force as 60 lbs ．moved through space at a velocity of 100 feet per minute．About lifting the stone，and the re ceding of the earth，is very amusing，as it eems that，doubling the volume of stone，the quantity of matter is not increased；that is， there is just the same quantity of matter in one pound of sugar that there is in two ：－W． K．＇ y wife，if he has one，could never become a believer in this doctrine．A pair of scales cauld easily convince him that he is in error． The most singular announcement which he makes，is as follows
＂We can move a weight，not only about
perpendicular direction，but even ten，fifty，or a hundred times as great．The reason is plain，there being no separation of bodies， there can be no reaction in a direction towards each other，－the force，therefure， hrown upon the body is constant，and al though we can scarcely see the effect at first， et，as the force is every instant accumulating， herefore，by continued pressure，we can move much greater body in a horizontal than in a perpendicular direction．＂
There，engineers，don＇t you see that a hori－ ontal is a hundred times more powerful than vertical engine．This，however，is not the seaning of $\mathrm{W} . \mathrm{K}$ ．，but it shows how he con－ uses subjects—he has not a clear understand ing of them．The fact is，direction of motion has nothing to do with the sulject；there is no difference in the force of one body from ano－ ther，if both have the same momentum－let them be moving in any direction，horizontal or perpendicular．I was sorry to see such an dea advanced as the following ：－＂The ele－ ments of matter are unoriginated，hence they have already passed through an infinite num ber of modifications，in which every particle of matter has been associated with every other particle，from which it has since been projec－ ted．＂He deduces the above from the laws of action and re－action．He might just as well have said，that he himself was not originated， ecause there is an inherent principle of self－ preservation within his breast．How he ac－ countyfor matter passing through various mo－ difications，the association of particles，and then their separation，is a problem；such event．s could never happen，if his theory was true； never．
It is not long since that a writer in the Sci－ entific American discovercd the same law as W．K．，giving it another name，but he was going to make vessels cross the Atlantic in a few days by it．
The laws of Mechanics are not generally nor well understood．With your permission， gentlemen，I will pursue the subject in some ubsequent articles． Maclaurin．

## The Cotton Worm．

Messrs．Editors－In No．4，page 27，of the present volume of the Scientific American，is an article under the head of＂Cotton Worm，＂ which speaks of a destructive insect，a $f l y$ ， resembling the＂Candle Fly．＂In my re－ searchss in entomology I never came acruss an animal of such a denomitation ；no doubt the misnamed insect is a Papilio，Noctua Gos－ sypii，a native of South America，blown to our Southern States when in the winged state，and becomes destructive when，on its arrival，the cotton is in full bloom；if later，it is a wel－ come customer．So I found it in 1812，in South Carolina，where the cotton had ripened to pods，and nothing left forit but the leaves， on which it fed，by which means the planters reaped a cleaner crop，free from the small par－ ticles of the leaves．Fortunately，this insect cannot endure our climate，or else it would be－ come naturalized．The manner of destroying the fly，as stated by the writer，would rather be inefficient－examining the blossoms；what a labor！The quicker way would be to set the whole crop on fire，and is more sure than in－ viting these guests to a dish of molasses－a luxry to bees，wasps，and hornets，but not to papilios，who only suck nectar from flowers． The only method our planters have tried，was to light fires near by，in calm nights，around the cotton fields，which attracts moths．
Savannah，Oct．20， $1860 . \quad$ A．G 0.
［The article referred to，in the above letter， was an extract from another paper，and credit－ sd to that paper．We did not endorse，nor make any comments on it．－E $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{D}}$ ．

Freshets．
It is our opinion that there have been more freshets in our country，this season，than any other within our recollection．Last week the village of Cleveland，Oswego Co．，was visited with a destructive freshet in the rising of Black Creek，which carried away five dams nd two bridges．
The Toronto papers estimate the surplus wheat of Upper Canada this season at 7,000 ，－ 000 bushels more than last，which was 4,000 ，－

