

A SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL AWAKENING.

Our English cotemporary, Engineering, appears to have seriously exercised itself in the perusal of our good-natured article on "English and American Scientific and Mechanical Engineering Journalism," which appeared in the SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN, February 4th; at least, we so judge from the tenor of an article in response thereto, covering a full page of that journal. The article in question is a curiosity in literature. It deserves a much wider circulation than Engineering can give it, and we would gladly transfer it to our columns, but for its exceeding length-a serious fault generally, not only with Engineering's articles, but most other technical journals published in England. It would scarcely from an English contemporary, which throws a little light in any method of propulsion at present known to engineerdo for them to be brief in their discussions, and above all other things, spice and piquancy must always be excluded. Engineering evidently labors under the conviction that the heavier it can make its discussions, the more profoundly will it be able to impress its readers. Hence, we are equally astonished and gratified to find a gleam of humor flashing out from the ordinary sober-sided composition of our learned contemporary. The article came to us just as we were laboring under an attack of dyspepsia, and its reading fairly shook our atrabilious corpus. We said to ourselves, "can it be three-column articles upon the subject, the first containing chinery, whereby it-the machinery-is saved from stress possible that Engineering is about to experience the new birth, to undergo regeneration, and a baptism of fire ?" The article is really worth reading, and we begin to indulg e the hope that at least one English technical is going to try to make itself not only useful, but readable and interesting. And what is most perplexingly novel in this new manifestation, is the display of a considerable amount of egotism, there have doubtless been some. A series of continued sucwhich we had always supposed to be a sinful and naughty thing in technical journalism. And, as if to magnify this self-complaisance, it actually alludes to its "own extensive and would ere this have been introduced to the world, had there tively smooth surfaces; although the increased tractile power ever-increasing circulation in America." Now to show how not been some drawbacks. small a thing can impart comfort to the soul of our cotemporary, we venture to say that the circulation of Engineering that is claimed for it; but the way in which it has been manin this country cannot much exceed three hundred copies per aged is certainly one not likely to encourage faith in it. week.

like the SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN, which, according to its own nothing definite in the way of results can be relied upon, it notions, is chiefly the work of "scissors and paste," should is not yet a process. If, in the use of iodine, in some in- the trials took place, exactly alike for both the rubber and circulate so widely; and it even belittles our weekly circula-stances, fine grades of iron or steel are produced, and in as tion by several thousand copies, in order to give point to its many other experiments, with the same material, failures re- Aveling and Porter six-horse power road engine, built for

The writer in Engineering, whoever he may be, appears to as the successes. A process worthy the name is one that gine, without rubber tires, was 11,225 pounds; with rubber be a sort of literary Rip Van Winkle, just waking out of a acts with approximate uniformity, and when, in its use, re tires, it weighed 12,025 pounds. Without rubber tires, it long sleep; and he cannot get the idea through his head that sults vary widely from what is usual, the variation may be it is possible that a technical journal can become a vehicle of traced to important differences in the conditions of its appliwith rubber tires, it drew up the same incline 2.763 times popular information to the mass of mankind, instead of being cation. the weight of engine, with the weight of rubber tires added, the organ of a small clique of professional engineers or wealthy On the whole, we are inclined to believe Mr. Sherman's showing that, although it drew a little over 2,200 pounds manufacturers, such as seems to hold control of the columns experiments have not yet developed a definite process, and we more than it could do without the rubber tires, the increase of Engineering, and who use it either to ventilate their own pet shall receive with much allowance the glowing statements of traction was only that which might be expected from the schemes and theories, or to advertise, by illustration and otherpublished in regard to it, until such time as it can face the additional weight, wise, in the reading columns, a repetition of lathes, axle-boxes world and defy unbelief. It is claimed, moreover, that the additional traction power,

neers, who, he tells us, are in sorrow and heaviness over the darkness. short-comings of American technical journals, would turn out after all to be slender props for him to lean upon. We think it probable, however, that with a little more snap, a journal like Engineering might possibly attain a circulation, in this country, of 500 or 1000 copies weekly.

Why, American engineers have scarcely yet been able to organize themselves into an association for mutual advancement in their profession, much less to give the reading public the benefit of their experience and labors! This fact along ought, of itself, to satisfy Engineering that no such journal could profitably exist in this country. Whenever our American engineers are ready to support such a journal, there will be no difficulty in finding a publisher.

Engineering, in its casual reference to the various tech nical journals of America, omits to name our leading scientific monthly, but introduces with just commendation a venerable cotemporary, now upwards of three score years of age. Now, it is no disparagement of this really modest monthly to say, that perhaps there are not sixty hundred people in the States who know it, even by name; and so far as the use of "scissors and paste" are made available in our technical journals, we venture the assertion that the editorial staff expenses of the SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN are as great, if not greater, than those of Engineering. The question, however, is not so much one of original outlay, but which of the two journals gives most for the money. In this very essential particular, and with no intention to depreciate the value of Engineering, we assert, with becoming modesty, that the SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN occupies a position which Engineering will never be able to attain.

THE SHERMAN PROCESS.

When people boast of extraordinary successes in processes the details of which are kept profoundly hidden from public as though it had a bearing surface of two square feet on scrutiny, and when the evidences of success are presented in similar material. the doubtful form of specimens which the public has no means of tracing directly to the process, the public is apt to practically of no importance on moderately rough ways, like be skeptical, and to express skepticism often in not very com- a macadam surface or a concrete road, where the promiplimentary terms.

highly-colored accounts of a wonderful metallurgic process, circumference of the wheel; comes into action. This element the very worst materials, almost in the twinkling of an eye. ! weight of the machine. Even this would not result in loss discoverer, the "Sherman Process." The details of the pro- applied to useful work in the direction of the advance of the cess are still withheld, but we last week gave an extract engine. The fact is, however, that it is not so applied, and upon the subject.

marvellous change in the character of the metal.

A very feeble attempt at explaining the rationale of this effect has been made, in one or two English journals, which The advantages claimed may be enumerated as follows: In we opine will not prove very satisfactory to chemists and creased tractile power, with a given weight, secured without scientific metallurgists. The Engineer has published two very little information, and the second a great number of and wear; and economy of the power, expended in moving unnecessary paragraphs, but which gives the proportion of the extra weight required by rigid-tired wheels, to secure the iodide used, in the extremely scientific and accurate the required frictional resistance. The last-mentioned claim formula expressed in the terms "a small quantity."

Assertions of remarkable success have also been given. Nothing, however, was said of remarkable failures, of which upon the machinery, are generally conceded. cesses would, we should think, by this time, have sufficed for

We are not prepared to deny in toto that the process is all

The very name of "process" implies a system perfected, It evidently amazes our English cotemporary that a journal and if it be still so far back in the experimental stage that accurately the point in question.

the two countries is not the same, and should the editor of ity to that made by the old method. These claims we are Engineering undertake to transfer his system of intellectual inclined to discredit. Certainly, we see no chemical reason labor to this side of the Atlantic, he would not be long in why this small amount of iodide should produce such an efmaking the discovery that those wandering Bohemian engi- | fect, and the specification itself throws no light upon our

If the experiments in these so-called processes have no better basis than is apparent from such information as at present can be gathered respecting them, it is probable we shall wait some time before the promised revolution in iron and steel manufacture is accomplished through their use.

RUBBER TIRES FOR TRACTION ENGINES.

When it was first discovered that a smooth-faced driving wheel, running on a smooth-faced rail, would "bite," the era of iron railways and locomotive engines may be said to have fairly commenced. The correction of a single radical error was, in this case, the dawn of a new system of travel, so extensive in its growth and marvelous in its results, that even the wildest dreamer could not, at that time, have imagined the consequences of so simple a discovery.

A popular and somewhat similar error regarding the bite of wheels on rough and uneven surfaces, has also prevailed. We say popular error, because engineers have not shared it, and it has obtained, to any notable extent, only among those unfamiliar with mechanical science. The error in question is, that hard-surfaced wheels will not bite on a moderately rough surface, sufficiently to give an efficient tractile power. It seems strange that this error should have diffused itself very extensively, when it is remembered that a certain degree of roughness is essential to frictional resistance. The smoothness of the ordinary railway track is roughness compared to that of an oiled or unctuous metallic surface; and it has been amply demonstrated that the resistance of friction, of two bearing surfaces depends, not upon their extent, but upon the pressure with which they are forced together. A traction wheel, of given weight, resting upon two square inches of hard earth or rock, would develop the same tractile power

On very rough and stony ways, however, another element: nences are nearly of uniform hight, and so near together as For a considerable time, the public has been treated to to admit between their summits only very small arcs of the whereby the best iron and steel were said to be made, from is the constantly recurring lifting of the superincumbent This process has been called after its assumed inventor, or of power, could the power developed in falling be wholly ing science, cannot be so applied. Above a certain point, The agent relied upon to effect the remarkable transforma-, where friction enough is developed to prevent slip, the more tion claimed, is iodine, used preferably in the form of iodide uneven the road surface is, the greater the power demanded of potassium, and very little of it is said to produce a most, for the propulsion of the locomotive. And this will hold good for both hard and soft-tired wheels,

What then is the advantage, if any, of rubber-tired wheels? damage to roadways; ease of carriage to the supported madepends upon the first, and must stand or fall with it. The saving of roadway, ease of carriage, and its favorable results

A denial of the first claim has been made, by those interested in the manufacture of rigid-tired traction engines, and the parturition of this metallurgic process, and the discovery others, in so far as the rubber tires are employed on comparaon quite rough pavements and roads is acknowledged.

> This denial is based upon results of experiments performed on the streets of Rochester, England, between the 9th October and the 2nd November, 1870, by a committee of the Royal Engineers (British Army), with a view to determine

Care was taken to make the circumstances, under which the iron tires. The experiments were performed with an very amusing, and, we will also add, generally just criticism. sult, it is just as fair to attribute the failures to the iodine, the Royal Engineers' establishment. The weight of the endrew 2.813 times its own weight up a gradient of 1 in 11:

brakes, cars, and other trade specialities, which can lay little or no claim to novelty. It is, furthermore, a crying sin in the estimation of our English critic that American technical no light upon the rationale of the process. journals do not separate their advertisements from the subject matter; and he thinks that when Yankee editors learn that trade announcements are out of place in the body of a journal,

The patents obtained by Mr. Sherman seem to cover the and superior ease of carriage on rough roads, secured by use of iodine, rather than the manner of using it, and throw rubber tires, is dearly bought at the very great increase of cost, of an engine fitted with them, over one not so fitted.

A patent was granted by the United States Patent Office, This is a point we regard as not fully settled, though it can Sept. 13, 1870, to J. C. Atwood, in which the inventor claims not long remain in doubt. There are enough of both classes the use of iodide of potassium in connection with the carbons of wheels now in use to soon answer practically any question they will see how to make their journals pay by making and fluxes used in making and refining iron. In his specific there may be of durability (upon which the point of economy them higher priced. Now we venture to say, without in- cation he states that he uses abont fifteen grains of this salt | hinges), so far as the interest on the increased cost of the tending to give offence, that Yankee editors understand their to eighty pounds of the metal. This is about $\frac{1}{373}$ of one per rubber tires, is offset against the greater wear and tear of business quite as well as do English editors; and it is pre-'cent. He uses in connection with this exceedingly small iron rimmed wheels. It is stated, on good authority, that a sumable, at least, that they know what suits their readers proportion of iodide of potassium, about two ounces of lamp-on this side, much better than do English editors. We black, or charcoal, and four ounces of manganese, and asserts wore out its tires between April and September, inclusive; venture to suggest-modestly, of course-that journalism in that steel made with these materials will be superior in qual- and when it is taken into consideration, that the cost of these