OMISSION OF OATH UNDER SEC. 6. ACT OF 1836---FRAUD IN OBTAINING THE EXTENSION --- THIRD PARTIES CANNOT TAKE ADVANTAGE OF SAME ---LAW OF COMBINATIONS WHAT WILL INFRINGE A COMBINATION CLAIM.

We give below the most valuable portions of a decision lately made by Judge Clark in the New Hampshire District, in the suit in equity, George Crompton vs. The Belknap Mills et al.

The respondent objects to the Marshall Patent of December 11, 1849, that the invention was neither new nor useful, and that the patentee did not, before the granting und issuing of the letters to him, take the each pre-scribed by section ith, of the act of July 4, 1836, that he verily believe he was the original inventor or discoverer of the art, machine, etc., for which he solicited a patent. A Patent is deemed prime face evidence that the patentee has made the invention. There is, in this case, no sufficient evidence to overcome that presumption, or prime face case.

invention. There is, in this case, no sufficient evidence to overcome that presumption, or prima facic case. There is evidence that "open-sheat" fancy looms were used prior to Mar-shall's invention, but not involving the combination of Marshall. His in-vention must therefore, be taken to be new. Precisely how useful it may be, the court have not undertaken to deelde; but that it is sufficiently so to support a paten, we have no doubt. Other looms any have been prefered by different persons, or may have found a readier sale; but that goed cloth can be woven by Marshall's loom and invention there is sufficient evidence.

be, the contrast of the second doubt. Where the omismary have been preferred by different persons, or may have found a readler sale, but that good cloth can be worken by Marshall's loom and invention there is sufficient evidence. To warshall a patent, the invention must be useful-that is, capable of some beneficial use, in contradistinction to what is pernicious, or frivolous, or workless. These objections to the patent cannot therefore avail. Nor can the other, that the oath required by the 6th section of the act of 1856 was not taken, for two reasons. It is, we are not satisfied the ost. was not taken. The letters patent recite that it was. The respondent finds, among the papers on file in the case in the Patent office, a blank for for the oath, with the jura. motisfied by any invagistrate, and hence he argues the oath was not taken. But the oath may have been taken for all that and this negative testimony cannot overcome the direct recital of the letters patent that the oath was taken, or the pre-sumption that the requirements of the law worke compiled with in issuing sumption that the requirements of the law worke compiled with in the taking of the oath, though to be done prior to the granting of the putent, is the evidence required to before that the two and of the art set. If he take the issue that the requirements of the batent the prior. If is the evidence required to before the its hand the order of the art set. If he takes this is the tast of the fast inventor of the arts evidence required to before the novelty and offshally of the invention until the con-trary appear. So the act says, on payment of the duty—that is, feeg-the conductson and the patent when the sate of the novelty and offshally of the out at the patent appears to be as much acondition precedent as the reliver to a disporter. In the rest of the explexit therefore be void? Te the one required to be acondition precedent as the original and the north and patent was void. The therefore so and the the original patent was void. The the out

Sue to Crompton. We think that substantially the same invention is described in the two

aminition and comparison of the original patent to Marshall, and the relevance to compton.
 We think that substantially the same invention is described in the two patents.
 But if it should be held that the original patent to Marshall, and the relevance to compton. Assume, were valid, it is contended that the extension to Marshall, was not, for three reasons, to wit:

 That as Marshall never had any interest in the relevance patents.
 That no sufficient notice was given to the public of the application for the extension of the patent; and
 That no sufficient notice was given to the public of the application for the extension was obtained by frand.
 That the extension was obtained by frand.
 To the first o'icction, to wit, "that as Marshall never had any interest in the relevance patent, it could not be extended to him," it is a full answer, that, in judgment of law, the relevance is only a continuation of the original patentee, the extension was legally and properly to him. The extended to the extend at the relevance of their respective interests.
 The second objection is that there was no notice ever ordered, or given of any application to extend the relivant of law they are one. If the relivance and y a continuation of the original patent, then a notice to extend the original patent, then a notice to extend the original patent, the another of 18%. The Secretary of State, the Commissioner of Patents, and the Solicitor of the Treasury were a board of commissioners to "hear and decide upon the evidence produced before them, both for and agatast the extension." It has been held that the functions of this hoard were judicial, and that their judgment settled conclusively all questions. But the relevance of the transform was conclusively at the the terestion of action the state the extension of the segment of the application. The the state the original wo

lateral manner. If there was fraud practiced in obtaining the patent, that is a matter between the Patent office and the patentee; and can, perhaps, be inquired into by some proper proceeding of the officers of the Government to vacate the patent. But in this particular, like a judgment, it must be respected and enforced, until reversed or annulled by some proceedings directly for that purpose. It is not exposed to the attacks of strangers or third persons for such reason.

between the particle are constructed and set of the object of the particle are constructed and set of the particle are constructed and the particle are constructed are constructed and set of the particle are constructed and the particle are constructed and set of the particle are constructed a

ward and backward as if you should turn a wheel part of the Way round, as you fourth, and then bring if back again, and so continue.
There is also in the Thomas loom a brake connected and operating upon
ward and backward as if you should turn a wheel part of the Way round.
ward and backward as if you should turn a wheel part of the Way round.
The ward and backward as if you should turn a wheel part of the Way round.
ward and backward as if you should turn a wheel part of the Way round.
ward and backward as the sace of the comprove is a composed and controlled by the projecting stud or friction roller connected with an arm of the hedder and controlled by the projecting stud in the cam groove, is carried upward by the cam groove, the order of the cam groove is a conce triction which the projecting stud or roller falls, which it is contended by the projecting stud or roller falls, which it is contended by the work. He has, nevertheless trade contracts in California to obtain planant's counsel is a substitute for the exist on a that this device of the Thomas loom much more results of the concentrate of the openation in both cases is performed by a surface of metal passing under or over another surface ram loom that the device and the didleges cam loom than it does is pressed, or he distionary by it; yet it was yet ydifferent for the Marshall and the Thomas loom a brake connected and operating upon

It on the oy a surface of metal passing under of over another surface had
 that therefore one infringes the other. In the old Midleese cam born one surface passed over another, to wik over the cam, and was elevated, depressed, or held statudinary by it, yeit was very different from the Marshall device. Any such latitude of construction.
 There is also for the sector, retarding, regulating and governing its motion, the peripher of the sector, retarding, regulating and governing its motion, the peripher of the sector, retarding, regulating and governing its motion, we think and conclude that these two elements are substantially different, and that one is not a well-known substitute for the other.
 We now come to the last element or device, to wit, the pattern mechanism. Had the pattern to Marshall not been surrendered, and a new one issued, the question of infringement, if it arose at all, must have arisen between the holding mechanism of the two looms; but that patent having been surrendered, and a new one issued, claiming a combination of element, that new one is liable to be avoided, by showing that the Thomas loom uses a substantially different element from any one of those combined.
 To return to the pattern devices. These two mechanisms or devices are very different in their construction and in their operation. H. B. Renwick, one of the complainant's experts, says: 'I think the pattern chain in model B.'' (the Thomas loom) ''is considered by this different chain in prodeines, that have for the directions in the to constate the differing from it in the face that is postantized and increase of pattern chain from that specially devices or mechanisms, that is, by themelves; and in that view they are substantially different species of pattern chain from that specially the section of the face that is postantized and the complain the direction in the the constant of the direction. The complaintes the section of a section the direction in the there that i

levers does in regard to the upper catches. There is nothing like this in the Thomas loom. Again, take the combination of the holding mechanism, with the pattern mechanism, and jacks, and there we find a substantially different combina-tion, or mode of combination, in the two looms. In the Marshall loom the jacks are combined with the holding catches, by their oblique connection with the heid levers, keeping the jacks seated upon the upper catches until forced off by the pattern cams, and pulling "the jacks off the lever catches when not held on by the cams. Is there any such arrangement in the Thomas loom? We do not find it, nor anything nearly approaching it. In the Thomas loom? We do not find it, nor anything nearly approaching it. In the Thomas loom? We do not find it, nor anything nearly approaching it. In the Thomas loom? I we do not find it, nor anything nearly approaching it. In the Thomas loom? I we do not find it, nor anything nearly approaching it. In the Thomas loom? I we do not find it, nor anything nearly approaching it. In the Thomas loom? I we do not find it, nor anything nearly approaching it. In the Thomas loom? I we do not find it, nor anything nearly approaching it. In the Thomas loom? I we do not find it, nor anything nearly approaching it. In the Thomas loom? I we do not find it, nor anything nearly approaching it. In the Thomas loom? I we do not find it, nor anything nearly approaching the sector by a gearing, rocking the sector backwards and forwards as the fack goes up and down. In the crumference of this sector is a cam groove, or slot; in this groove plays a stud or friction wheel attached to an arm of the heddel lever.

^{UDB} groove plays a stud or friction wheel attached to an arm of the heddle levers. This stud is guided and held by the cam slot, thus elevating, depressing, or holding the heddle levers at comes into one or the other part of the slot. The pattern mechanism has nothing whatever to do with this holding, elevating, or depressing, further than to select the particular jack. We leave not of this combination the brake parplackly, though that device in the thins aloom, and the "devner" in the Marshall, play very important parts, both in holding the shed open, and in preventing its closing too qui kly. We might pursue this examination and comparison further, but have gone far enough to warrant the conclusion to which we have come, that the respondents bave not infringed the complainant's reissued patent of constitute an infringement of a patent for a combination, the defendant must have used the same combination, constructed and operated substantially in the same way. A patent for a combination is not infringed unless all the essential parts of it are substantially initreer, who has improved the original machine, by the use of a combination cannot treat another as infringer, who has improved the original machine, by the use of a combination of three distinct things is not infringed by the student for a combination for the same result.

treat another as initially different combination, though it produce an same result. A patent for a combination of three distinct things is not infringed by combining two of them with a third, which is substantially different from the third element described in the specification. In Morris we Barrett, I Fish, 461, it was held, that in an action for an in-tringement, the machines themselves, as shown by the models, were evi-dence entitled to the highest credit. We have exa since the models in this case very carefully and repeatedly: and they have very materially allocd us in coming to a satisfactory conclu-sion; particularly in determining how much weight was to be given to the oddhoas and explanations of the experts, two of which appeared on each side, weights, which are dismissed with cost.

break the stone than effect a separation at the joint.

As a new method of fissing difficultly decomposable minerals, it is recom mended that 1 part of the mineral, previously veryfinelypowdered, should be mixed with 3 parts of fluoride of sodium, and that this mixture, after having been placed in a platinum crucible, should be covered with 12 parts of powdered bisulphate of potassa. Chrome iron ore, hard hematite, tin ores, and rutile corundum, and the like, are very readily brought to fusion and disintegrated by this flux, even with no more heat than that obtained by a good Bunsen gas-burner.

The Shipping and Commercial List, of New York, in alluding to the amounts paid to passengers by the different railroad companies as compen sation for damages, says that probably not one of all the accidents which inflicted the injuries that had to be paid for was the result of a natural cause. Most of them were attributed by the verdict of the coroners' juries to broken rails or the carelessness of employés. Experts have declared that accidents from broken rails would be practically done away with, were the rails made in t vo or three continuous parts, and the expense of this in the manufacture could not be great.

In the year 1863 there were 3,991 applications for letters patent filed in the British Patent Office. The stamp duties received in respect of patents amounted to 119,371 pounds. After deducting expenditure, there is a considerable yearly surplus income; and the aggregate surplus from 1852 to the end of last year exceeds 726000 pounds. The Commissioners complain of the insufficiency of the building for the requirements of the office. Completesets of the Commissioners of Patents' publications-each set including more than 2,500 volumes-have been presented to the most important towns in the kingdom, to be accessible to the public free of charge.

M. Reinsch, having experimented with various salts in order to determine which was best suited to prevent timber bursting into flame has come to the conclusion that impregnating timber with a strong solution of rock sait is as good (if not a better) preservative against its bursting into flame, as water-glass (silicate of sold). Bock salt costs much less than water-glass, and it has also the effect of keeping the timber free from dry-rot and noxious insects. He also says that the use of a solution of salt in extinguishing a fire with fire-engines would be very effective, but it is questionable whether the engines would not soon become worthless from the effect of the salt.

The recent terrible coal-mine accident at Avondale, says the Easton Free Press, calls to mind a former great accident in Pennsylvania mines, which occurred in Carbondale in 1850. A large mine caved in, destroying over a hundred lives, and ruining the mine. When the cave in occurred the pressure of air from the falling mass was so great that it blew a boy and a mule an eighth of a mile out of the narrow entrance to the mine. A few of those entombed worked their way out through all the dangers of firedamp and foul air, but the most of them Derished by starvation, or fell a prey to the rats. which in coal-mines grow to an enormous size. One man was seven days in digging his way to the surface.

A bituminous composition, which may be used in the shape of bricks or as a coating on any desired foundation, has been invented, and is said to be suitable for the bottoms of reservoirs, for pavements of streets and ter-races, and many other applications. It is composed of the following ingredients in the proportions stated: For every 100 pounds weight of bitumen-sulphur, 37½ lbs; galipot (or in case of necessity colophony), 25 lbs; lamp-black, $12\frac{1}{2}$ lbs; sand, 25 lbs = 100 lbs. For bitumen to be applied on wood the quantity of sand may be reduced by about 5 lbs weight, and it is preferable that the wood be rough. In preparing this bitumen the sulphur must first be thoroughly melted in a sheet iron caldron or in an earthenware pot; the galipot is then added, and when this is almost entirely melted the lampblack is introduced, and, lastly, the sand. The whole is carefully mixed over a moderate fire.

A charcoal flower-pot has been patented in England. The charcoal is molded into the approved form in such a manner that its peculiar porosity nay be in no way interfered with. By this means, not only is the oxygen of the air allowed free access to the soil within the flower-pot, but the water with which the soil is moistened is, by the filtering and purifying powers of the carbon, deprived of all those "hard" qualities which are known to be so deleterious to the growth of plants. Further, the sulphurous vapors, which are usually present in the atmosphere of large townsand constitute the principal reason why floriculture is attended with so much difficulty in all cities heated with coal and lighted with gas, are, by the use of the charcoal flower-pot, fixed in the pores of the carbona ceous sponge. Hence, not only are pure air and pure water insured to the plant: but, all noxious vapors being removed, it follows that a healthy and vigorous growth and luxuriant development cannot but ensue.

NEW PUBLICATIONS.

MAN IN GENESIS AND GEOLOGY; or, the Biblical Account of Man's Creation, Tested by Scientific Theories of his Origin and Antiquity. By Joseph P. Thompson, D.D., LL.D. New York: Samuel R. Wells, Publisher, 389 Broadway.

The kind of discussion contained in this book is of very little interest to us, and we regard it as of very little value to the world. The statement made in the first paragraph of the preface begs every disputed question at the very threshold of the book. This statement is in the words of the author as follows: "No fact declared by science can be accepted as true if it conflicts with any statement of the Bible," That an author starting with such a proposition could ever arrive at truth is morally impossible. Therefore it is not surprising that the book instead of being a candid research after truth, is a weak attempt to make all known facts coincide with the writer's interpretation of the Scriptures. Not that the facts of science necessarily conflict with the Mosaic record. All we can say is, that in some cases they seem to conflict with our understanding of that record. But to start out properly in a search for truth, one must divest hismind of preconceived notions-astandard of candor to which the author of this book has been evidently unable to attain.

ment of the same clements or powers of mechanics, but upon producing the given effect by substantially the same mode of operation, or substantially the same combination of powers. Following these principles and adjudications, we proceed to the examination and comparison of the Marshall and the Thomas looms. In both we find, substantially, the same lacks, differing in form, but performing, substantially, the same lacks, differing in form, but performing, substantially, the same lacks, differing in form, but performing, substantially, the same lacks, differing in form, but performing, substantially, the same lacks, differing in form, but performing, substantially, the same lacks, differing in form, but performing, substantially, the same lack differing in form, but performing, substantially, the same lack of the lack of the instant of the same bur in going up is an elevator, but in rotation or revolution, going down, becomes a depresser. These three elements are substantially the same, but when we come to the lacking mechanism we find a marked and substantial difference in the two progs of the jack's but that it included the connecting mechanism of the jacks with the lacks lack ever, the pattern mechanism, and the "werer." Now, although it be tree that the connecting mechanism and the "were." mechanism, and although in the operation of the machine there is a point of the sacks are forced on flowed to come off by the pattern mechanism, and although in the operation of the evener, yet we have close, unital although in the clevator carries upward a pattern mechanism of the indiks are forced on the the orang of the jacks are forced off the jack and the notches on the prong of the jacks, and still we find the holding mechanism of the two inclines the back as are forced off the spring and the sont the set of back and they be the vent of a car head they be the vent off the move off by the pattern mechanism of the the spring flatches or catches, and the notches on the prong of the jacks, and still we find the holding mec

by the pathern mechanism, until allowed to be drawn off by the onlique world to the Great Samuellands. Now in the Thomas loom there is a very different mechanism or device. There is a jack which is gearined up and down by an elevator and depresser. On one-the of CM stack grees up and down, it rolls or rocks this sector for. Sector. As the jack grees up and down, it rolls or rocks this sector for.

attractive and comprised a great number of specimens

About 100 feet of embankment of the Eric Canal at Pool's Brook, near Kirkville, were carried out on the 21st of September, and the flood covered the Central Railroad track, temporarily suspending travel. One track is now in use. It will require several days to repair the break.

A huge chinmey has been completed at the Earl of Dudley's estate at Coneygre Works, near Dudley, England. Special arrangements for the consumption of fuel necessitated the carrying of the stack to a hight of 190 feet. It is strengthened by iron-work for a distance of 100 feet above the ground.

The Croton Water Works in process of erection at High Bridge are now well advanced, and by next spring the inhabitants of Washington Hights are promised all the water they want. The reservoir is nearly completed, requiring only some grading of its banks, coping, and further work on the western gate.

Herr Krupp must look to his laurels. A larger block of steel than has everissued from his works is now in progress of casting at Osnabruck. It weighs 200 tuns, whereas the block with which Krupp astonished the

THE METALLURGY OF IRON AND STEEL, Theoretical and Practical, in all its Branches, with Special Reference to American Materials and Processes. By H. S. Osborn, LL.D., Professor of Mining and Metallurgy in Lafayette College, Easton, Pa. Illustrated by 230 Engravings on Wood, and 6 Folding Plates. Philadelphia: Henry Carey Baird, Industrial Publisher, 406 Walnut street. London: Trubner & Co.

This is a voluminous and exhaustive treatise, rivaling in extent the celebrated work of Crookes and Röhrig on the same subject, but having, as stated in the title, more especial reference to American materials and processes. We will give a review of this important work as soon as we have time to give it the examination it merits.

WE are also in receipt of the Appual Report of the State Engineer and and Surveyor on the Canals of New York, for the Year 1868, and also the State Engineer's Report on Railroads for the same year : able documents containing much information, abstracts of which we will lay before our readers in due time