

Science Familiarly Illustrated.

Apprentice's Work.

One of our correspondents desires us to speak in this department of the manner in which mechanics apprentices should employ their time in order to derive the greatest amount of benefit from the term of their novitiate. We do not like to be harping upon facts known to all, nor to re-state old truisms which have been iterated and reiterated over and over, but we cannot refrain from drawing somewhat from experience as well as observation and endeavoring to incite a proper degree of ambition, in apprentices and young men.

The apprentice should determine on becoming a first-class workman. There can be no insurmountable difficulty in the way of this. He has only to apply himself to perfect himself by practice in what he has learned by precept. If an apprentice desires to attain a proper and honorable position in his chosen vocation he should endeavor to employ his leisure time in studies or practice, which will advance him, or tend to advance him to the point he desires to reach. If he is contented with getting through his day's work with the approbation of his employer, and looks for no other commendation, he will generally find himself at the close of his apprenticeship merely an ordinary workman and nothing more.

There are books to be read, treatises to be studied, problems to be solved which may occupy his evenings, giving him at the same time practical and theoretical information invaluable in his after career. But without trenching on this proper department of his education there are many processes and manipulations used in the shop, which can be successfully reached and acquired only by persistent practice. In the machinist's business, for instance, it requires a long practice to draw a file straight. In spite of his own judgment and in contradiction to the testimony of the straight edge, the apprentice will swing instead of drawing his file, producing a convex instead of a level surface. Only practice can overcome the combination of habit and want of judgment in such a case. We know an apprentice who employed his leisure noonings and before working hours in the morning in practicing with the file. The result was that he became a first class filer. We saw, the other day, a shoe knife as it came from the anvil. It was one taken at random from a day's work of over one hundred, forged from the bar by a smith. Examined under the microscope not a mark could be seen on its planished surface to denote that only the ordinary hammer and anvil were employed in its production. Its surface was almost like that of finished gun work after being blued. This workman, for his superior skill, obtains twice as much for his work as ordinary workmen. To be sure, he has attained his present perfection by long practice, but close attention and the exercise of good judgment were also necessary.

The joiner's apprentice should never be satisfied until he can grind and set a plane iron so it will cut clean, and not scrape; until he can drive a finishing nail home and not leave the mark of the hammer. The machinist's apprentice should be determined to be able to grind and set a tool properly in the lathe or planer to do good work, to draw a file straight and keep it from scratching. So we might mention plenty of instances, but our only object is to show the necessity of the old-time axiom: Whatever is worth doing at all is worth doing well.

Correspondence.

The Editors are not responsible for the opinions expressed by their correspondents.

Warming and Ventilating Farm Houses.

MESSRS. EDITORS:—As your journal claims to aid the farmer as well as the mechanic, I venture to suggest the above subject to your attention, hoping that you or some of your correspondents can throw some light upon the subject.

Fuel is getting scarce and high, coal is taking the place of wood, and air-tight stoves are now all the vogue, especially with us farmers of moderate circumstances, who cannot afford the kitchen range, together with the furnace in the cellar, and other expensive arrangements for the first-class houses of the merchant, manufacturer, etc.

We want some arrangement whereby we can warm economically and healthfully one or two rooms in addition to our kitchen; a sitting room, library or family room. As the parlor is but occasionally used, it is not of so much account. As before intimated, the coal or wood air-tight stove is now used for this purpose, with scarcely any provision for ventilation. The supply of oxygen to support the combustion in these stoves, is obtained entirely from the room, and what remains is breathed over and over again, much rarified by the heat of the stove, and sometimes filled with gases from it. The only fresh supply must gain access through the crevices of the doors and windows without any warming and in just the condition to give colds to the inhabitants of such an atmosphere if they chance to be exposed directly to one of these currents.

When the open fireplace was used, there was an ample escape of the foul gases through the open flue caused by the current produced by the fire, but this carried off much the larger part of the heat also and is too expensive.

It appears to me that the method of passing a current of fresh air which has been previously heated through the room is the most perfect and is the best, provided it can be done economically.

We of course expect to keep a fire in our cooking stove or range in our kitchen, and if this stove or range could be also made to serve the purpose of a furnace as above alluded to, and a current of warm air could be carried from it to an adjacent room on the same floor (farmers' kitchens are not down

stairs) it would be what is wanted. Then the escape of foul air from the room in question could be easily provided for either through an open fireplace or an opening in the chimney near the top of the room or even through the same crevices around the doors and windows which before let the cold air in.

But the question now arises, and it is the one on which I wish most to obtain light, how can this current of a sufficient quantity of warm air be carried from the source of heat in the kitchen to the family room on the same floor. I can suggest no mode in my own mind except to take a pipe from it through which steam or hot water can be carried down into the cellar beneath, protected by inclosing it in a box tube filled with ashes or other poor conductor, to an inclined air passage taking fresh air from the outside of the building, and descending to near the bottom of the cellar, and then again ascending gradually to a register in the family room. The steam or hot water pipe is to enter a little above the lowest point in this passage, and ascend within it to near the register, again descend, and, if desirable, run up and down until the whole amount of heat has passed from it to the air in the passage, which by being heated will rise into the room above. One or more jets of steam or hot water could be emitted from this pipe to give the air a proper amount of moisture.

Whether the above plan can be adopted economically where a supply of water is at hand or whether any other can be suggested I leave with you to decide. S. N. BEERS.

Sandy Hook, Conn., Jan. 28, 1867.

[Wherever there are rooms warmed above the kitchen the pipe should pass through them and be enlarged into a dummy. The air of the kitchen is not materially vitiated by the breathing, but is made offensive by the fumes of cookery and washing. Whenever the cooking and washing is not going on it is reasonable economy to pass the excess of heated air to other apartments. Mr. Beers shows himself to have ingenuity enough to carry out practically his very good theory.—EDS.]

The Mint Corrected.

MESSRS. EDITORS:—On page 71 of your journal, current volume, I observe a notice of the new five-cent coin. I had noticed the statement in the "dailies" that the coin was to be 20 millimeters in diameter, and was sorely disappointed on measuring the first one that appeared to find it 20.5 m. in diameter, or 0.8075 inches diameter U. S. standard. This would make the decimeter 4.035 inches. According to Webster it is 3.9368 inches; it also accords very nearly, with two scales in my possession, and by different makers, one of which is no doubt of French origin. The weight I have no means of testing, but hope it is much nearer the truth. The three-cent coin is, by the same scales, 17.8 m., equal to 0.715 inches diameter. Men are rare that will work nearer than the five-cent coin. It surely ought not to be so, especially on coins designed as standards measure, either length or weight.

PROGRESS.

Casting in Green and Dry Sand.

MESSRS. EDITORS:—I saw in one of your back numbers, a statement, made, I think, by an engineer, relative to the oil becoming gummy in steam cylinders. Thus: of a pair of locomotive cylinders that had been treated exactly alike and with the same kind of oil, one worked clean and bright, while its mate became foul, the oil becoming thick and gummy, and caused much trouble.

There are two methods of molding steam cylinders: one is what is known as green-sand molding, and the other method is what is called dry molding. By the first method it is the next thing to impossibility to make a solid casting: the iron when cold is coarse in the order of its crystallization, porous, and generally full of what are called blow-holes, and when heated the oil enters the pores, and the piston in passing to and fro assimilates with the oil in the pores, and soon creates a dirty mess. In a cylinder cast in a dry mold the iron is close in the grain, approaching the nature of steel, wears bright with a polished surface, and the same weight of dry-molded iron is fully one-fourth stronger than the first named. I think one of the cylinders above referred to was cast in a dry mold. F. S.

Expansion of Steam.

MESSRS. EDITORS:—As there seem to be various opinions about expansion please allow me to propose mine as follows: I remember that in answer to a query of one of your correspondents, you demonstrated quite to my satisfaction that there is no loss of power in the steam engine, by the use of the crank. From this it seems necessarily to follow, that a given length of movement gives an equal proportional result at any part of the stroke.

During the discussion of the *Winooski and Algonquin* trial, there was a remark in one of your editorials from which I inferred, that so far as either possesses any advantage above the other, the advantage is in favor of using steam at a high pressure. I also get the impression from the pressure used in that trial by a very high authority among those who have no faith in cut-offs, that 20 lbs. is not too low for fair results.

Now assuming the truth of these propositions if we take an engine of any given size, running with steam at 20 lbs. the work performed, will be the contents of the cylinder in inches, multiplied by the revolutions and rate of pressure, and divided by the unit of power. But according to Bourne, the sum of latent and sensible heat, is the same at all pressures, and proposition No. 2 assumes that 60 lbs. is a more economical pressure than 20 lbs. Let us then, raise our pressure to 60 lbs. we shall according to proposition No. 1 have accomplished as much work at one third stroke, as in the former case at full stroke.

If then we exhaust at this point, we have lost nothing, as compared with the other case, and the query arises do those who question the utility of expansion, believe that it would be good economy to exhaust at the point of cutting off, rather than to use the expansive force for the remaining two-thirds of the stroke.

Let them show this, and they have the case, but if they fail they are reduced to the alternative of showing that whatever advantage arises from the expansion of steam from one volume to three is overbalanced by some disadvantages arising from the use of a pressure of 60 lbs. during the first part of the stroke.

If some of your scientific readers will oblige me with a carefully considered answer, he will contribute to the solution of an important question, and will confer a favor upon many beside myself. S. H. W.

Central City, Col.

Scalding Hogs—How Should the Water Be?

MESSRS. EDITORS:—Some two or three months ago I read in the "SCIENTIFIC" an account of the improved slaughter house for supplying the New York market. You say that hogs after being killed are plunged into a vat of boiling water. Is it really so? Are the proprietors or operators at that institution uninformed as to the proper temperature of water for scalding hogs? It had been long thought by me that the proper degree of heat was 160° Fah. and I should have immediately written you on the subject had I not learned from your valuable advice and general writings that careful experiment is the only true means of arriving at a result accurately. When "hog killing" came I tried the experiment on seven hogs using a Wilders Thermometer. The result was that a temperature of from 160° to 170° was found best. Many of your subscribers although mechanics have at least a pig to kill and may be benefitted by knowing how hot to heat the water and thereby be able to do a "good job" at butchering as well as in the shop. M. L. BAXTER.

Batavia, Ill., Jan. 20th, 1867.

Compasses in Iron Ships.

MESSRS. EDITORS:—The error of compasses in iron ships has led to many disasters and caused the destruction of immense amounts of property and the loss of many valuable lives. It seems to me that by very simple means such errors might in most cases be avoided. For this purpose I would suggest that all iron ships be furnished with a permanent magnet of sufficient power and a number of compass cards with unmagnetized needles. A few simple instructions, and easily understood, would teach any one how to charge the needles. In order to correct the ship's compasses, let one of these cards be magnetized aloft and as far from the local attraction of the ship as may be convenient from time to time. The fresh needle would always indicate the magnetic meridian. The expense of the magnet and cards would be comparatively trifling. H.

INTERESTING PATENT OFFICE DECISION—IMPROVEMENTS IN MODE OF SINKING WELLS.

This was an interference declared between the application for a reissue of the patent granted to Byron Mudge in October, 1865, the application of N. W. Green for a patent and the patent granted to James Suggett in March, 1864, and, on appeal to the Board of Examiners-in-Chief the decision of the Examiner awarding priority of invention to Suggett and denying Mudge's application for a reissue was overruled and said Mudge allowed his reissue.

Several important questions have arisen in the case. The following abstract from the written opinion of the Examiners-in-Chief will give a very clear idea of the controversy respecting this valuable and interesting discovery.

DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.

Instead of digging and walling up a well in the manner heretofore practiced, a piece of gas pipe, shod with an iron point and pierced with holes near the bottom to admit water, is driven down into the earth, and a pump attached to the top, completes the well. In hard ground, an iron bar is first driven into the ground and with a saw before the pipe is inserted. By these means there is accomplished in a few hours, perhaps in half an hour, what before was the work of weeks or months, and the very extensive use of which it is susceptible, renders it one of the important improvements of the day.

WHAT GREEN DID—GENERAL SUGGESTIONS DO NOT INVALIDATE A PATENT.

1st. It is said that Green instead of Mudge was the inventor, and that consequently the latter is not entitled to a patent. The testimony on this point is somewhat contradictory, but we think the weight of it sufficiently establishes that the conceptions of Col. Green were quite immature and imperfect, and that he relied upon others to give them a practical form, rather than supposed that he himself had perfected an invention. His idea seems to have been that a hole could be made in the earth by driving an iron bar; that the water would rise in it as in a common well, and could be pumped out by a pump with pipe attached in the ordinary manner, and in this way all the first experiments were made but with temporary and indifferent success.

General suggestions, whether oral or in books, do not invalidate a patent to one who has carried them into practical effect. The *Marquis of Worcester's* century of inventions affords several examples of the application of this principle. The rule as stated in *Alden vs. Dewey*, 1 Storey, 338, and *Pitts vs. Hale*, 2 Blatch, 234, is that the suggestions to invalidate a patent must be so full and complete as to have enabled the patentee to construct the device without further invention. Tested by this rule, we do not think that the suggestions to Mudge should deprive him of a patent. Green is entitled to the merit of the first conception but he had not perfected a practical mode of carrying it into effect, and it probably would have died with him without benefit or advantage to the public, but for the subsequent experiments and inventions of Mudge. A very different question would have been presented had Green himself been engaged in experimenting upon and maturing his conception, and others had appropriated his ideas without his consent.

MUDGE NOT ANTICIPATED BY A PRIOR USE—"LOST ARTS" ARGUMENTS ADVANCED.

2d. It is also objected that Mudge was anticipated in his invention by Stephen A. Hunter. It appears that the latter, in the summer of 1851, inserted a copper pipe into the ground about 10 feet, and drew water from it to supply a steam boiler by a pump attached to the top. It was worked down into the ground by means of a pointed iron rod inserted within it. It operated successfully until the following spring, when the pump and pipe were removed to make room for another building that was erected on the same spot. Nothing more was done by Hunter in relation to the matter until he made application for a patent in 1865. His success does not appear to have been such as to induce him to follow up his first trial with any further experiments, or to make any attempt to mature his invention. It was abandoned and apparently forgotten for more than ten years before Mudge commenced his experiments, and would probably have never been brought to light again but for the labor and success of others. If it is not to be regarded as an abandoned experiment, we think that it will at least come within the case of *Gaylor vs. Wilder*, 10 Howe, 596, where such a prior use was held not to invalidate a patent.

WHAT SUGGETT DID—RIGHTS OF ONE WHO CARRIES OUT MERE CONCEPTIONS

3d. In October, 1861, Suggett was employed by Mudge to assist him in making two wells with the double tubes. In the following year he (Suggett) made some wells in the same way, and in September he made a successful well with a single tube of gas pipe, with shoe, holes and pump, as described in his patent. And it appears that he had some time previously reflected upon the subject of dispensing with the digging of wells and obtaining water by pipes. In the following month, October, Mudge, having returned from the army, made a successful well by using the same pipe and fixtures that he had prepared the fall before for the use of the regiment. It thus appears that Mudge was the first to construct, if not to use, the apparatus in question.

An application for a patent by one who has been employed to assist another in experimenting upon and perfecting his invention, is always regarded with much suspicion and disfavor. The conceptions of the inventor usually receive much modification and change of form, as experiment and reflection disclose the necessity of it.

