Scientific American.

Scientific American.

NEW YORK, FEBRUARY 19, 1859.

REMOVAL.

The SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN Office has removed from its old location, 128 Fulton st. (Sun Building), to No. 37 Park Row (Park Building), where all letters, packages, and models should hereafter be addressed. Entrance is had to the Office also at No. 145 Nassau st. Munn & Co.'s American and European Patent Agency is at the above office.

Sewing Machines.

We are not afraid to confess it. We have an intense respect for John Bull. There is an amount of steady jollity and sincere good humor in him that makes us like him, and his great solidity of character commands our highest esteem. Therefore, we are careful seldom to say anything against him, or to rake up old sores, and blister the ancient wound. We prefer to actrather as a healing salve among the nations, and to write with the intention of promoting universal goodwill. We never liked to tell John that he was a slow coach, but have always admired his unvarying speed. What was our surprise, then, to find in a recent number of the London Engineer an article on "Sewing Machines," which contains the following sentences: "Somehow or other, however, the invention seems to have spread slowly, and it is better known through the quarrels of the inventors than by any useful effects it produces." And again : "They seem, indeed, never to have got into the ordinary category of trade. No manufacturer tells you in an honest and straightforward way at what price he will supply his machines. One would imagine. indeed, that the whole business was spellbound-that the wonderful machine had only been employed in sewing ligaments for the inventor's energies, and in stretching toils from which he could not escape."

We ask, in amazement, "Is this the progress the sewing machine has made in England ?" Why, Uncle John, you have had the invention as long as we have, and have done nothing with it ! It is your own confession, not any invidious remark of ours. Listen, while we tell you what we are doing with the sewing machine, and it may, perhaps, stimulate you to give up law and turn to manufacture. For the benefit of the poor needlewomen, for the cause of humanity, for the prevention of the horrors which your own Tom Hood described in his "Song of the Shirt," we hope it may !

Messrs. Wheeler & Wilson made and sold during the last three months 4,700 machines, and are now producing and selling 100 per day. They vary in price from \$50 to \$100, and the highest priced ones sell best. In their business there is over \$500,000 invested, and they keep over 400 men regularly employed manufacturing machines. The system pursued is the same as that adopted in the manufacture of arms, every piece is made to a gage, and consequently the parts of any machine may be transposed with those of another machine of the same size; or should an accident occur, the broken part can

machines vary from \$50 to \$125, and two- | carrying on its wings fine sand, and destroyprice. Messrs. Singer & Co., taking advan-(now owned by Thomas, of London) does not cover Scotland and Ireland, have a branch establishment in Glasgow, and in the last year they sold machines in that city to about the amount of £25,000, thus proving that in the old country, wherever "Yankee Doodle" as we are familiarly called has a chance, he can make money and give value received.

There is not an establishment in this country where stitching of any kind is required in which the sewing machine is not employed, and there are few private families in which it is not an acknowledged article of furniture. It is impossible for us to estimate the number of other machines sold weekly in the United States, but when we mention the fact that a machine may be bought in New York or any other city in the Union from \$5 upwards, it will not be considered an exaggeration, but considerably under the mark, when we say that over 1,500 sewing machines are sold weekly in this country.

Now, what is the cause of this difference ? Why is it that, as the Engineer tells us, the sewing machine in England is a nut for the lawyers to crack and run off with the kernel, while here it is an increasing article of manufacture and a blessing to the community? We think we can solve the question in a few words. Firstly, the superiority of our patent laws, which, by our system of examination before granting a patent, prevents much litigation; and, secondly, the conservative feelings of the English people render them unwilling to adopt a machine which will do that in a few minutes which, for centuries, it has taken their hands many hours to accomplish.

In a quiet, friendly way, Uncle John, looking at your patent laws and many social evils, (confessing, at the same time, that we have some, too,) we ask you, as the older man, the more experienced social philosopher and political economist, from this sewing machine contrast, to aid such men in your midst as your social science philosophers-Lords Brougham and Russell, to wit, and cease playing with the great obstruction to your progress-conservatism; but in the words of your own great poet-

" Reform it strogether." The Winds.

The ancients believed that the winds issued from a cavern at the command of Jove, and that they were under the control of four deities, to whom the Phœnicians, Greeks and others erected temples. We now, however, know that wind is merely air in a state of motion, and that by waving the hand to and fro, or blowing our breath, we may produce wind on a small scale. When the air, at any particular place, is heated by the direct action of the sun's rays or radiation, it rises because of its lightness, and cold air from the surrounding localities rushes in to fill its place. A common door will illustrate this; if we partially open the door of a warm room and hold a light near the top, the flame will be blown outwards by the heated air esthe cold air that is rushing in to supply the

thirds of their sales are those of the highest ing all that venture to oppose its power. The harmattan is a cold dry wind frequent in tage of the fact that Howe's Euglish patent Africa and is nearly as dangerous as the simoons. The sirocco visits Italy, with a hot, moist and relaxing blast from the African shores of the Mediterranean ; and whirlwinds and tornadoes are common to all climates, but most destructive in hot ones.

Notwithstanding the seemingly terrible nature of these winds, they, with the milder ones, have important parts to play in the great economy of nature, in dispersing the clouds over the surface of the earth, and purifying the atmosphere from noxious vapors and effluvia; they also disperse the seeds of plants, and, as aids to civilization, impel ships across the seas, and move machinery.

Steam on the Frie Canal.

A bill has been introduced into the Legislature of New York, by Mr. Prosser, of the Senate Canal Committee, which bill has for its object the encouragement of using steam as the motive agent in canal navigation. It provides that boats propelled by steam power on the canals shall be allowed to run at the rate of five miles per hour-four miles being the maximum speed at present allowed; also that all other boats shall give way for their passage in the same manner as freight boats were required, "a long time ago," to stand for packets. Steam is undoubtedly destined to supersede horse-flesh entirely, at no distant day, on our canals, and this measure looks like a move in the right direction to secure this end. Boats of good model, propelled by screws, can run on the canal at the rate of seven miles per hour, and cause no more swell to injure the banks than the old bluff-bow barges running at the rate of three miles per hour. It is many years since we first urged the importance and necessity of steam for canal navigation; we are glad to perceive the truth finding its way up into our legislative hall, however tardy it may have been in reaching such a source.

---Patent Law-Returning Money.

In the Senate, on Feb. 2d, Senator Reid, of the Committee on Patents, to whom was referred a bill for the relief of the widow of Charles Pearson, reported the same and recommended its passage. Some objection was made to its consideration, but he said "It is a clear question. It is merely to refund some money paid into the Treasury by an insane man, who made application for a patent. I trust the amendment will be adopted, and the bill suffered to pass."

Mr. Hale said : "It is simply to return \$150 to the widow of a poor insane man, whopaid money into the Patent Office. The Commissioner wants to repay it, but he is not authorized by law to do it.

The bill was amended so as to grant \$140 to the "legal representative" of Charles Pearson, late of Concord, N. H., and then passed.

There is certainly a very great defect in some points of our patent laws when, in such a case as this, money has been paid into the Patent Office by mistake, and cannot be refunded otherwise than by a special bill of report this enumeration has been extracted, caping; and if we hold the light near the relief broughtinto the Senate. We hope our designates the several patented inventions in bottom, the flame will be blown inwards by present Congress will see the necessity of which these component parts of the reaper making some amendments to our patent appear, and this review of the then actual

M'CORMICK'S EXTENSION CASE. COMMISSIONER HOLT'S DECISION.

UNITED STATES PATENT OFFICE,) January 28, 1859.

193

On the application of CYRUS H. McCORMICK, for the extension of a patent granted to him on 31st January, 1845, and re-issued on the 3d of August, 1858, for Improvement in Reaping Machines.

In our country of fertile and cheap lands and sparse population, the reaping machine, operated by animal labor, ranks probably next to the plow in its value as an agricultural implement. Though undoubtedly the remote successor of the sickle and the scythe, still the researches which have been made into its history assign it a high antiquity. Pliny, in the first century of the Christian era, describes minutely such a machine as then in use among the Gauls, which being drawn or rather pushed forward by a single ox, was, as we are subsequently informed by Palladius, capable of cutting large fields of grain in a single day. What changes it underwent in the course of after ages, or from what causes it finally fell into disuse, are not certainly known. It was not until towards the close of the last century, that the inventive genius of the world seems to have been again directed to this agricultural implement, and the machine as sketched by Pliny appears to have been the starting point for its reconstruction. From that time until the present day, its progress towards perfection, though slow has been steady and uninterrupted. The improvements of which it has been the subject for the last seventy years have been contributed alike by the ingenious minds of both hemispheres, as shown by the numerous patents which have been granted during that period in Europe and America. It is manifest from the very lucid and elaborate report of the Examiner in this case, that at and before the date of the applicant's invention in 1845, the reaping machine already comprised, in varying combinations, all those fundamental elements which at present enter into its composition. To enumerate, it contained the frame to support the working parts-two wheels for carrying the frame and operating machinery; a platform to receive and carry the grain until raked off by a raker seated on the machine or walking at its side; shafts to draw the machine, arranged at the side of the frame and cutter ; the vibrating straight cutter; driving the gearing by the wheels that carry the machine; the spear-head guardfingers; a crank and pitman for communicating the reciprocating motion to the cutter; reel posts or supports; the arrangement of the outer reel-post behind the cutter and bent forward ; the reel ; means for adjusting the height of the reel in its supports ; an arrangement for regulating the height of the cutter ; the lateral arrangement of the platform to and behind the driving wheel, for enabling the raker to remove the grain at the side; the arrangement of the outer wheel for supporting and balancing the machine; the combination of a vibrating serrated cutter with guardfingers; the blade case; the divider, and the raker's seat. The Examiner, from whose

be immediately replaced on application at the office.

Messrs. Grover & Baker manufactured and sold in 1858 fourteen thousand sewing maching. and more of the \$100 ones than any other price. Their factory is at Boston, but in this city they employ 150 cabinet-makers. to produce stands and ornamental covers for the machines sold. They sell a great number in South America, and many are bought here and carried to England by persons returning or going to live there.

Messrs. I. M. Singer & Co. produce and sell about 350 machines weekly, and they are now making arrangements to increase their manufacturing facilities. The prices of their

place of the heated air that has escaped. In laws. particular parts of the tropical regions, where

the air becomes highly heated by the sun, there is a constant rushing of air to these trade winds, so called because taken advantage of by merchantmen on the Atlantic and } ingenious manner :- Genuine half-eagle (\$5) Pacific Oceans. They blow in the same direction for months together.

This local action of the sun on vast areas of land and water produces several other important winds, such as the monsoons, which blow from the south to the north, being trade winds turned round by the heat of land lying within the tropics; the simoon is a burning blast that rushes over the Arabian deserts,

Cunning Adulteration of Gold Coin. An Italian woman was taken into custody points, from east to west, and this causes the in this city last week, for passing gold coins that had been adulterated in the following pieces were sawed through the middle on the edges, about one dollars' worth of gold taken from each, and its place filled up with base metal. The two halves of the coins were then united together again, and the edges remilled so skillfully that it was impossible to detect the forgery by mere inspection, as the faces not only bore the impress of the genuine articles, but really were such.

condition of the machine is essential to correct appreciation of the character and extent of applicant's invention of 1845, now under consideration. The operation of the several parts thus named had proved more or less satisfactory, according to the combinations in which they were found. It seems to have been the purpose of the applicant to improve the efficiency of the functions performed by the divider and reelin separating the grain to be cut from that left standing. This appears not to have been perfectly accomplished before, especially when the grain was lodged or tangled; and if we are to judge from the suggestions of counsel, the special aim of the applicant was to remedy this defect. If so, it

194

NO ON

Scientific American.

is very clear from the testimony that he has failed. There are several witnesses, practical farmers, who used the machine as constructed under the patent of 1845 and who declare that in lodged or tangled grain it was wholly inefficient, unless the grain chanced to lean towards it; that the reel was constantly stop-ping, and that the machine would not cut the grain, but would run over it. This di-vider, within its own narrow track of twelve inches, certainly lifted and parted the fallen grain, and thus secured the uninterupted progress of the machine; but the same result had been effected—possibly under peculiar circumstances, not quite so well—by other and well-known dividers, among which may be specially named that of the applicant, as appearing in his patent of 1834. For the broad pathway of the cutter, having a width of five or six feet, no provision was made by the invention of 1845 for lodged or tangled grain, beyond the pre-existing imperfect instrumentality of the reel.

The applicant's invention of 1845, as set forth in his reissued patent of 1858, consists of two points :

1st. The curvature of the bearers supporting the cutter apparatus, which, it is insisted will facilitate the discharge of any clogging matter that may enter. It is preclogging matter that may enter. sumed that this improvement upon the straight bearer formerly in use has a measure of utility; but as it has not attracted the special attention of the witnesses or counsel, it will be dismissed without further comment.

2d. "The employment of the projecting ends of the reel-ribs to affect the separation of the grain to be cut from that to be left standing, in combination with a dividing ap-paratus, which effects a division of the grain by forming an open space between the outer and inner grain for the ends of the ribs of the reel to act in, in which open space there is no reel-post, or other obstruction, to prevent the free passage of the grain as it is brought back by the ends of the reel-ribs to the platform of the machine, and by which means a separa-

the machine, and by which means a separa-tion of the inside grain to be cut from the outside grain to be left standing is made com-plete by the action and power of the reel." The "dividing apparatus" referred to con-sists of a device substantially the same with that previously in use, with this exception, that a crocked iron rod is employed to secure the same divergence on the inner side, which had been previously effected by the inclined edge of the well-known wedge-shaped divi-It probably secured but little, if any, greater divergence than the old device, nor could this have been done without resulting in throwing so much grain between the first pair of fingers of the cutter as to choke it at that point. This feature of the divider was, however, new in form, and presented a further marked trait of novelty in its adjustability, as to height, by means of a slot and screw-bolt. The invention of 1845 consists, then, in a curvature of the bearers and the combination of this precise form of divider just described, with the projecting ends of the reel-ribs, for the purpose of separating the grain. The patentability of this im-provement having been recognized by this office and the Supreme Court, it will be treated in this discussion as a settled ques-

The inquirywhich remains to be prosecuted is, whether the patentee, after the exercise of due diligence, has failed to receive a reason-able remuneration for the time, ingenuity, and expense bestowed upon this invention and upon its introduction into public use. In order satisfactorily to answer this inquiry, it must be ascertained-

1st. What are the profits which the patentee has realized from the sale and use of the invention? and

2d. What is the actual value of that invention, considered as well in reference to its intrinsic character asto the benefits which it has conferred upon the public?

The applicant, in addition to this invention, made a further improvement upon the reaping machine which was patented in 1847, and which consisted in combining a raker's seat with the machine as constructed under the patent of 1845. Having in all the machines and licenses sold by him united the privileges and devices of the two patents of 1845 and 1847, without any designation of their respective values, he has in his account filed, presented the aggregate receipts and expenditures accruing from them both, and has there assigned a moiety of the profits to each of the patents. The gross receipts thus presented amount to \$2,868,780. From this, however, must be deducted the \$9,354 05 received from Seymour & Morgan, which being proceeds of a judgment against them for infringing the patent of 1845, cannot be properly divided with that of 1847. The proof shows that this exhibit of receipts is not free from errors, but the inaccuracies disclosed are not of a magnitude to justify any special notice.

S

\$2,732,035 73, which being deducted from the alleged receipts, yields a profit of \$136,-744 27 for the two patents, or \$68,372 13 for each of them. A critical examination, however, of the details of this account, as seen especially in the light of the testimony, has led my mind to a very different conclusion as to the amount of profits with which the patent of 1845 should be credited. The items of expenditure will be noticed in he order in which they stand. The sum of \$45,360 is charged as an aver-

age interest for fourteen years on the amount debited to the patents of 1845 and 1847, for money expended in experimenting with machines, in traveling, and otherwise prosecut-ing the invention. This interest was extinguished by the accruing profits, and could not be allowed, unless interest was calculated upon the receipts. As this has not been done, and if done, would exhibit a heavy balance on the other side, this item must be rejected.

There is charged the sum of \$93,600 for expenses of litigation, which is stated to have consisted in "three cases that were carried to the Supreme Court, and several others in the circuits." No intimation is given as to the precise purposes for which this large amount was expended. It may have been absorbed by counsel and witness fees, and costs of court, or by something else which the applicant may have regarded as embraced in the comprehensive term "litigation." Neither the names of the parties to the suits, nor the date of their pendency and decision, nor the courts by which they were determined, are given, beyond the general statement that there were several suits in the circuit courts, and three in the Supreme Court of the United States. Certainly nothing could be more indefinite or unsatisfactory. The statute, in requiring the patentee to make a true and faithful exhibit of his receipts and expenditures, clearly intended that his account should assume such a form as would enable the public to investigate it and contest its accuracy, if inclined to do so; such a form too as would place it in the power of the Commissioner to pronounce upon its intrinsic legality, and apply the testimony offered for and against it. Some relaxation of the rigor with which certainty is exacted in all accounts that propose to become the basis of judicial action, has been recognized as proper in behalf of inventors because of their peculiar character. The utmost relaxation, however, of the rule could not sanction a statement so utterly vague as that under consideration. The transactions covered and concealed by its ample folds are without any ear-mark or designation whatever, which could render it possible for the Commissioner or the public to examine them. It may be added, that the applicant is not in a safely condition to claim the benefit of any such relaxation of the general principle referred to, as is insisted on his behalf. He is an inventor, it is true, but, unlike the class to which he belongs, he is also a man of remarkable business habits, who wields millions of capital, is surrounded by his agents and clerks, and keeps the records of his vast transactions with strict commercial accuracy. Had he therefore chosen to open his ledger for our inspection, it would no doubt have exhibited an account of his "expenses for litigation," as complete as that presented by the merchant's books of his daily purchases and sales. With such lights at hand, the applicant's pressing upon our consideration an account so obscure and darkened as this, is wholly without excuse. This item must be disallowed because of its indefinite character, and for the further and all-sufficient reason. that there is no testimony in the case showing, or tending to show, that this amount, or any part of it, was ever expended for the purpose charged.

Next follows an item of \$511,750 67 for the transportation of machines and commis-sions upon their sale. The proof is very full to the effect that the general rule, as an-nounced in the printed circulars of the ap-plicant, was that the cost of transportation e paid by the nurchase 97 quisition seems to have been rarely departed from. Metcalf, one of applicant's witnesses, thinks that in not more than one case in fifty or sixty, was an exception to the rule al lowed; other witnesses say that the freight and charges were invariably collected of the purchaser on the delivery of the machine. There are others who think that this was not insisted on in all cases, as in a certain locality in Illinois, during the year 1855, it is stated that \$5 of this expense was paid by the purchaser, and the rest, if anything, by applicant. The few isolated instances in which the cost of transportation was borne by the applicant, not having been pointed out with any reasonable degree of certainty, this portion of the charge must be rejected. Calculating the commissions at ten per cent. on the gross amount of the sales-which is a most liberal allowance-the resultwill give

The gross expenditures are set down at | for this item \$283,398 instead of \$511,-

750 67. I am wholly at a loss to perceive on what ground the charge of \$493,808 52 for "man-ufacturing profit" can be sustained. The estimate is made at 30 per cent., which is ten per cent. higher than the testimony would warrant, supposing such profit properly chargeable against the patent. The statute in imposing on the patentee the duty of ex-hibiting a "faithful account of the loss and profit in any manner accruing to him from and by reason of his invention," manifestly designed that such loss and profit "should be taken into the estimate in determining the reasonableness of the remuneration received. The patentee may sell his invention or he may use it, either in operating machines made under it on his own account, or in manufacturing such machines and selling them to others. If he pursues the latter course, all profit remaining to him after meeting-what has been so liberally allowed in this case-the interest on the capital invested, and the loss from wear and deprecia-tion of machinery, must be set down not to the debit but to the credit of the patent. Such was the doctrine announced, though not elaborately discussed, by Commissioner Hodges in 1852, on application for the extension of a patent granted to Goodyear. as assignee of Hayward, and it would seem that no other conclusion could be safely drawn from the emphatic and comprehensive words of the statute. This item must. therefore, be stricken from the account.

Of all the details of this extraordinary account, the \$359,908 80, set down to the debit of the patent "for loss on debts," is the most remarkable. The books applicant, as proved by Blakesley, his clerk, who had charge of them, show that on \$2,758,900 43 of sales, the "worthless notes and accounts" amounted to but \$23,-553 67; and yet, on an outstanding indebtedness, being in part of said sales, of \$898,-772 31, it is now proposed to deduct for bad debts \$359,908 80, being at the rate of 40 per cent. The testimony not only does not warrant such a deduction, but justifies me fully in saying that five per cent. would be a very liberal allowance for loss on this account. The extravagant estimate under discussion appears to have been based upon the assumption that the value of these debts is to be ascertained by what they would command, if forced upon the market, under the auctioneer's hammer, in a moment of financial revulsion and depression. It can scarcely be necessary to comment upon such an assumption as this. In the judgment of the law, these debts are worth what they will yield after the patient and faithful endeavor to collect them, which experience proves, men ordinarily careful of their interests, will make. Calculating the loss at 5 per cent., we have for this item \$44,938 60, instead of \$359,908 80.

The account re-stated, with the corrections named, will stand as follows :

From isales of reaping machines, includ-ing 600 machines on hand From licenses to Wood & Ball Receipts from patents of 1845 and 1847, before the expiration of the patent of 1834 Receipts from 9-- \$2,833,980 00 - 16,000 00

 $15,000 00 \\ 4,000 00$ eipts from Seymour & Morgan in 1848 \$2,868,980 00

EXPENS	JES.		
Expenses of traveling, ex-			
perimenting, &c, charge-			
able to the two patents of			
1845 and 1847	\$36,000 00		
Cost of making machines -	1,134,277 74		
Commissions on sales	283,398 00		
Loss on debts	44,938 60		
Interest on capital	40,950 00		
Depreciation of machinery.	31,500 00		
		1.571.064	34

Credit to patents of 1845 and 1847 - \$1,297,915 66 That this is rather below than above the amount of profits actually realized from the two patents, may be fairly inferred from the testimony. In 1845 the applicant, as proved by his brother, was worth nothing; or to use his own phrase, "was not worth a red cent." One of his agents, who appears to be tho-roughly acquainted with his business and estates, states that he is now worth about a million and a half of dollars. No attempt has been made to controvert either of these statements; nor is there any allegation that since 1845 the applicant has been engaged in any other resources than the sale and use of his inventions as patented in 1845 and 1847. This colossal fortune is, then, clearly and wholly their fruit. In the total absence of any testimony tending to show the relative expenses and profits of the inventions of 1845 and 1847, I am constrained to accept the estimate of the applicant, which assigns an l qual share of the profits to each. This wil give to the patent of 1845 a profit of \$648,957 08, to which must be added \$9,354 05, being proceeds of the judgment against Seymour & Morgan—thus presenting an aggregate of \$658,311 13. Is this a reasonable remuneration? [CONCLUDED NEXT WEEK.]



EG (Carlo

* PERSONS who write to us, expecting replies through this column, and those who may desire to make con-tributions to it of brief interesting facts, must always observe the strict rule, viz., to furnish their names, otherwise we cannot place confidence in their com-munications.

NUMBERS 4, 14, 17, and 19, this volume of the SCIEN-TIFIC AMERICAN, cannot be supplied, as we are entirely out of them.

M. W. O., of Iowa -- Citizen patentees are not compelled to have their articles in market within a speci fied time. Foreign natentees, however, must put their articles on sale within eighteen months from the date of patent. You could trust your invention with any nonorable person.

J. D., of La.-Enameled oilcloth which greatly resembles morocco leather, is made of twilled cotton ered with several coats of oil-varnish. The first coat is generally composed of boiled linseed oil oxydized with some sulphuric acid and sulphate of zinc, and is rendered jet with lamp-black. All the subsequent coats are similar, only the first is the thickest. After each coat the cloth is dried in an oven, and it is polished with pumice stone before it receives its last varnish. The leather appearance is given to it by pressure between rollers. Such cloth is now used very extensively for upholstering articles, such as cushion covers, &c. It is nearly as durable as leather, and is far superior to it for traveling bags, as it is not affected by rain.

E. A. B., of N. H.-Any kind of varnish colored with dragon's blood will answer for violins. Adda little red sanders, and it will become richer in the tint. A. Y. McD., of Mo.-The steam domes of all the boilers in a gang should be connected together by pipes, and so should the feed water pipes. You have been anticipated in similar views to those contained in your letter by one published on page 186 of the present volume of the Sci. AM.

G. Z., of Pa.-What use do you make of boxes with spiral grooves, as represented in your sketch? There can be no good draft in a chimney unless it is hot. If the top of a chimney were colder than the surrounding atmosphere, it would cause a downward instead of an upward draft.

L. P., of Mass.-We are out of the numbers you send for. You had better advertise your patent felt roofing in our paper, then you will reach the enquiry referred

o. L. B., of Wis.—Quicksilver is made into an amalgam with tin for putting on the backs of looking-glasses There is but little difference between the durability of all the kinds of tin roofs, if they are put on well in the first place. We prefer the soldered, but others prefer the lapped roof. R. W. Sanders, of Tuscaloosa, Ala., wishes to engage

a person who understands the brewing of lager bier L L., of N. Y .- We did not secure the patent to

which you refer. W. W., of Ohio.-You need have no serious appre hension about McCormick's extension case. He cannot prevail on Congress to grant it. We shall oppose it, of course, as we do all such cases. We have not a single copy of the number you want.

E. R., of C. W .- You can purchase the work you mention from Wiley & Halsted, of this city. think Smee's battery is the best for silver-plating.

C. M., of Conn.-Common pitch applied hot is an excellent cement for an aquarium. White lead and ground glass make a good cement. W. E., of Ohio.-We do not believe that it makes

a particle of difference in the health of a person whether he sleeps with his head east, west, north or south. A child will neither be injured mentally or physically by sleeping with a " healthy" old person.

S. S. B., of Ala.-A patent on a stitch is for a particular way of interweaving threads, and is not for a general " result." We have not stated that the claim to the stitch you allude to was "undoubted." Any person using a patented stitch would infringe; but the Any machine by which it was made would not necessarily be an infringement. As to whether the assignee, assignor, or manufacturer would have to buy the right to use the previous patent, depends upon their mutual agreement. In the absence of any agreement, the manufacturer only would be accountable to the holder of the prior patent.

G. H. & H. S., of Iowa-We should rejoice as heartily as yourselves, depend upon it, if your wish "that the SOIENTIFIO AMERICAN might have 200,000 subscribers" could be realized. We are ready and anxious to receive them. You can have the money you speak of paid over to us, if you wish so to do.

Money received at the Scientific American Office on

Saturday, February 12 :---

A. O., of N. Y., \$5; D. F., of Pa., \$35; J. D. M., of Ohio, \$55; W. L. W., of N. Y., \$50; C. D. W., of Ohio, \$25; J. S., of N. J., \$15; R. T. W., of N. Y., \$30; W. F. M., of N. Y., \$25; A. W., of N. Y., \$30; S. D., of Mich., \$32; R. B., of Conn., \$30; R. S. L, of Conn., \$30; M. G., of Conn., \$25; F. & C., of Mass., \$30; C. Van T., of N. Y., \$100; J. C., of N. J., \$30; T. W. of La., \$300; J. F., of La., \$25; J. L., of La., \$30; A. B. & G., of Pa,, \$250; J. G., of Ky., \$20; J. R., of Pa., \$35; M. C., of N. Y., \$25; S. W. & R. M. D., of Mass., \$15 : E. S. of Vt., \$25 : S. & C., of R. I., \$30; H. H. & W., of N. Y., \$30; D. L., of Mo., \$30;
R. S. L., of Conn., \$30; M. B., of N. Y., \$30; W. G. R., of Mass., \$55; J. C. S., of Mass., \$5; T. H. W., of ____, \$30; T. W. C., of N. J., \$15; E. P. T., of N. J., \$30; K. & B., of L. I., \$10; H. W. F., of N. J., \$30; L. S. W., of Conn., \$30; C. & D., of Ill., \$25; J. S. W., of Iowa, \$30; E. W., of R. I., \$30; A. & H., of N. Y., \$30; A. S. S., of Mass., \$30; W. S., of Mo., \$30; G. W. L., of Ind., \$25; D. B., of N. Y., \$30; W. W. S.,

6

ଚ