Scientific American.

Scientific American.

NEW-YORK, AUGUST, 18, 1855.

Important to American Inventors A most important patent case, in which an American inventor was concerned was decided in the Court of Queen's Bench, Guildhall, London, on the eighth of last month, by a special jury, Chief Justice Campbell presiding. It was a proceeding by scire facia to repeal a patent granted to Thomas Hancock, in 1843, for improvements in the manufacture of india rubber goods. The alleged ground for the repeal of the patent was, that at its date, Hancock, the patentee, was not in possession of the invention. Last year,—as stated on page 373 vol. 9 SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN-the defendant, Hancock in this case, sued R. Ross for infringement of his patent, but the Jury did not agree in the issue, and they were discharged. Since that time, Charles Goodyear being in England, the parties interested with him have become the pursuers of Hancock, and the issue was nothing less than the repeal of his patent on the one hand, or those selling American vulcanized india rubber goods being held liable for damages to | sistance, at the present attained railroad speed, him, on the other. Goodyear and Hancock were examined at great length, before the Jury, who decided after a few minutes' consultation, in favor of the latter, thereby establishing his right to recover damages against all who have sold the American vulcanized rubber goods in England. The claim of Hancock was, that he by long study and experiment had discovered, that when rubber combined with sulphur was submitted to the action of a high degree of heat, in certain ways pointed out, it could be made to resist thereafter the action of heat and cold, and become permanently elastic, which process he called "vulcanizing." Mr. Goodyear claimed the same thing. This controversy has been occupying the English courts for many years, and the result is another and an exceedingly important lesson to every American inventor, not to procrastinate in securing patents abroad-especially in England. If Charles Goodyear had not exhibited unwonted delay in securing a patent for his invention in England, he would have swaved the whole trade, (and a great one it now is) of vulcanized india rubber goods in that country; but instead of doing so, he is now reduced to the necessity of paying another-Thomas Hancock -for the use of his own invention.

In 1842 Mr. Moulton, an Englishman, resident in America, went over to England with some specimens of Goodyear's vulcanized india rubber and exhibited them to Charles Macintosh & Co., of Manchester, and endeavored to make a bargain, by the sale of the secret. it, they were told £50,000-a quarter of a million dollars-and no bargain was concluded. Messrs. Macintosh however, acted somewhat honorably, for they advised Mr. Moulton to secure a patent, but this he did not then do, and as Hancock was a partner of the firm, by the specimens of the vulcanized india rubber left with him. (the very manufacture he had long been in search of.) he was incited to make numerous experiments, until he discovered the secret for himself. When he did so he secured latter having delayed until Jany., 1844, in take ing out his English patent.

Hancock admitted that the specimens of Goodyear's india rubber cloth left with him, suggested the experiments which led to his discovery; this low speed of their trains; and that if it the purposes of the law, viz. : to notify parties nd Lord Campbell in summing up the evi dence, said "it was not handsome in him (Hancock) to look at the specimens and try hour. and find out the secret, and it was to be regretted that Goodyear should not have the benefit of the invention; but the question for the Jury was, whether before Goodyear secured his English patent, Hancock had invented the process, for if he had he was entitled to their verdict." As stated, the Jury found a verdict for him in a few minutes. We present the substance of this case as one of peculiar interest to all inventors of improvements, which may be useful in Britain. The near relationship which the telegraph, the railroad and steamship, have established between kindred and civilized nations, has excited, and running them economically. Friction, concus- quest made that the notice should not appear in New York.

as Charles Goodyear.

American inventors should bear in mind that, as a general ru e, any invention which is valuable to the patentee in this country, is worth equally as much in England, and some other foreign countries. Three patents,-American, English and French,-will secure to an inventor exclusive monopoly to his discovery among seventy-five millions of the most intelligent people in the world.

Locomption-Resistance of the Atmosphere.

Two weeks ago (in No. 47) we reviewed an article which appeared in the N. Y. Tribune on Locomotion, wherein it was stated that the resistance of the atmosphere was the only hindrance to railway trains running at the rate of several hundred miles per hour. We exposed the fallacy of such ideas; but the Tribune has found a defender in the Rail Road Advocate. It says "the Tribune had not said that the atmospheric resistance was the principal rebut substantially that it would become the principal resistance at unattained high speeds, referring we presume to speed of 100, 200, or 500 inch, now weigh 40 tuns, and work at 120 lbs. miles per hour. When the SCIENTIFIC had proved the resistance of the atmosphere to be such a mere trifle, at 50 miles per hour, why did it not show how triffing it would be at 100, or even 500."

The Advocate is wrong The Tribune's lan-guage is as follows: "Huge worlds move through space with motions swifter than any which the belligerents at Sebastopol can give to their missiles they hurl at each other. What hinders a proportionate velocity in vehicles on the surface of our planet, is the resistance of the air. Were it not for this, railroad trains could be very economically moved at the rate of several hundred miles per hour." We never twist or quote a cotemporary wrong to garble its main idea for any purpose whatever. The language of the Tribune says it as plain as A B C, that and transverse systems, and upon which 100 but for the resistance of the atmosphere. railroad trains could be moved very economically at any speed above the present rate, to several hundred miles per hour; in short that the resistance of the air is the only resistance to rail road trains moving as fast as the planets,— 68,000 miles per hour is the velocity at which our planet moves through space. We exposed the fallacy of such ideas, by showing the amount of resistance of the atmosphere on a train with 50 superficial feet frontage, and moving at the rate of 50 miles per hour. Our data were derived from Charles Haswell's (M. When asked what Mr. Goodyear expected for E.) established tables of atmospheric resistance, and which are to be found in all good works on phneumatics; and rail road trains are subject to the same laws as all other bodies moving through the atmosphere. The Advocate supposes the existence of such laws, and lavs down propositions based upon probabilities, and yet it asks why we did not show the atmospheric resistance on trains running at 100 or 500 miles per hour. What an unreasonable question; we took 50 miles per hour as a high speed. Talk about the resistance of the a patent, and was just two months ahead of atmosphere on rail road trains, running at the Mr. Goodyear in enrolling his specification—the | rate of 100 and 500 miles per hour, when our fast trains only run at the average speed in motion of 36 miles. Our rail road Superintendents and Engineers must laugh at the idea of atmospheric resistance being the cause of

tivity, in the field of invention, and he who first great obstacles to the high speed of rail road should like to make:----"Has the patentee, or originates a new and useful improvement of any trains,—concussions from bad tracks being his assignees, failed to obtain a reasonable rekind, unless he hastes to secure it by patent, perhaps the greatest. Our State Engineer, J. muneration for the time, ingenuity, and expense may expect to find himself, so far as foreign | T. Clark, in his report for last year, says, (page bestowed upon his invention, and the introducsecurity is concerned, in the same predicament 15,) "the better condition of the track has pre- tion thereof into use?"

vented the expense of repairs for machinery from increasing, with the increased rates of all parts of the country, the owners or assigeens speed." Not a word of increased difficu ties of this monopoly have enjoyed a princely revfrom atmospheric resistance.

and although some weak-minded and unreflecting persons may see a huge and unsurmountable difficulty in the way, from atmospheric resistance, and may be waiting for some plan to | will be thankfully received." remove the air from the track, we are glad to know that men capable of forming safe opinions are becoming awake to this very question; and as a finish to our remarks, we quote the following from the London Railway Gazette, July 14, received by us two weeks after we penned the review of the Tribune's article: "The statistics of railways abundantly prove the urgent need of more substantial, safe, and efficient permanent ways than those hitherto in use, adequate to the increase of weight, speed, and power in the locomotives. Engines that were formerly 12 tuns in weight, and working at a steam pressure of 45 lbs. on the square pressure; and the rate of speed, formerly 25 miles per hour, is now 60 miles; while railways that formerly run 60 trains per day, now run 300, with a proportionate increase in the |gestion-a sort of indirect fear lest the ex-Comweight of goods and passenger trains. Notwithstanding this enormous increase in speed, power, weight, and number of trains, no corresponding improvement in railways, to render them capable of sustaining the necessary wear and tear, has yet been effected; and seeing the mischievous effects of this desideratum in our railway economy, Mr. Thomas Wright, C. E., has designed a bedplate, sleeper, and iron roadway, expressly adapted for sustaining the highest speeds and heaviest traffic, with the greatest durability and lowest cost for maintenance, combining the advantages of the longitudinal miles per hour may be performed with perfect ease and safety."

Page's Portable Circular Saw Patent.

By special application to the Acting Con. missioner of Patents we learn that George Page's patent for Portable Circular Saw Mills was extended on the 14th of July last, for a period of seven years from July 16, 1855.

There seems to have been something a little curious about the grant of this extension, and if any of our hundred thousand readers can throw light upon the matter, we trust they will do so.

Page's invention occupies almost as important a position in the preparation of lumber as Woodworth's machine does in the planing of the same. The patent is in very extensive use all over the country.

For some time past it has been the practice of the Commissioner of Patents to publish the official notices of all extensions in the SCIEN-TIFIC AMERICAN. Our readers will at once perceive the propriety of this procedure, for it is well known that no publication in the country comes in such immediate contact with those persons who are likely to be interested, one way or the other, in patent extensions, as this journal. Indeed, if all other papers were omitted, and the notices of extension published only in the SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN, we believe that interested adversely to the grant of tension, would be fully answered. If proper | den for accommodations, especially for displaypublic notice be not given of applications for The Advocate furnishes a demonstration of | extensions, no objections to the grant will be presented. And where no reasons appear to them off to the best advantage. the contrary, of course the Commissioner can Now we would respectfully inquire how it Office was set aside in the present instance, and tion for extension was sent to the SCIENTIFIC

is exciting the human mind to wonderful ac- | sions, and the attraction of gravitation, are the this journal. There is another inquiry that we

If we are to judge from reports coming from enue from the patent for many years : therefore We asserted years ago, that trains could be no extension should have been granted. But run with ease at the rate of 100 miles per hour; perhaps they became suddenly poor when they applied for the extension. Can any body tell us all about the matter ? The public are as anxious to know as ourselves. "Any information

The Mason Testimonial.

We were informed a few days since, by a gentleman from Philadelphia, who has a very extensive acquaintance among inventors and manufacturers, that the proposition to present a testimonial to Judge Mason gives much satisfaction in that locality, and will doubtless meet with a proper response. Similar reports have reached us from other sections. This is as it should be.

A Washington correspondent says there is a rumored probability of Judge Mason's return to office this fall, and thinks there ought not to be any hasty action in the matter, for if he should conclude to come back, he might feel embarrassed by such a compliment. We think we detect a little of envy in the above sugmissioner should too soon be thought too well of. As to the rumor of his return, we have once before stated that it was without foundation-our information having been derived from Mr. Mason himself-and we again repeat the denial.

But whether he returns or whether he does not return, can certainly make no difference as to the propriety of presenting him with this testimonial. The compliment is for services already rendered-not for the future. Besides, those who are at all acquainted with Judge Mason's character, well know that he is not the man to be "embarrassed" from such a cause. Whoever entertains this impression is too sentimental, by half. Judge M. would undoubtedly receive the gift-if he accepted it at allin the spirit in which it is to be presented, viz. : as a token of the high satisfaction entertained by his countrymen for the manner in which his official duties have been discharged.

The voluntary offering of such a testimonial, whether he was in or out of office, or contemplated a return, would probably be very gratifying to him as a man; for it would be to him an evidence that the effects of his labors had been sensibly felt, and undoubtingly approved. So far as his future action is concerned, such a demonstration, if it had any influence with him at all, would cause him to continue the same bold, independent, and vigorous policy which has always marked his official career. That he would be "embarrassed" is simply absurd.

Let the friends of Judge Mason, then, come forward and give him a hearty testimonial of their esteem and appreciation.

Fair of the American Institute.

The managers of this Institute deserve great credit for the spirit they have exhibited this year by hiring the Crystal Palace, with its immense accommodations, for the display of articles and machinery. They seem to be determined to make a grand flourish. It is our opinion that it will be the best fair ever held under the auspices of the American Institute. as the Crystal Palace far surpasses Castle Garing machinery. Exhibitors of machines will be afforded aniple space and power to show

would whisk along very were but removed they economically at the rate of 100, or 500 miles per

the pressure of steam required to overcome a frontage resistance of 500 lbs., (a mere trifle) on a train running at 50 miles per hour. It justify himself in granting the prayer of the presents a higher steam pressure than we did, applicant. but the result is the same, inasmuch as less steam at the high pressure is required; it is the happened that the usual custom of the Patent quantity of steam that overcomes the resistance, no matter what may be the length of why it was that no notice of Page's applicastroke, or diameter of driver. The atmospheric resistance would not prevent our rail AMERICAN? Can any of the clerks at the Patroad trains running at the rate of 100 miles | ent Office inform us? Do any of them rememanother day, if that were the only hindrance to ber whether there was a sort of one-sided re-

The Price of Gas. The Liverpool Events-one of the new English penny papers-says :-- "The cost of gas is excessive, and we state emphatically, as large consumers, that our bills show no decrease whatever since the reduction from 4s. 6d. to 4s. the 1000 feet, has taken place.

We wonder how the proprietors of the Events would feel to pay three times more for their gas (\$3 per 1000 cubic feet,) as we have to do in